

SUO CURRICULUM OUTLINE (2013 Update)

| Topic | Author |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Clinical Research | Matthew Resnick |
| 2. Bladder Cancer | Peter Black |
| 3. Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma | Alex Kutikov |
| 4. Renal Cell Carcinoma | Brian Lane |
| 5. Testicular Cancer | Todd Morgan |
| 6. Penile Cancer | Matthew Resnick |
| 7. Prostate Cancer | Dan Barocas |
| 8. Other Urologic Malignancies | Stephen Boorjian and Scott Eggener |
| a. Adrenal cancer | |
| b. Urethral cancer | |
| c. Paratesticular tumors | |
| d. Genitourinary sarcomas | |

Clinical Research and Evidence Based Practice

Overall Unit Objective:

At the end of this unit the SUO fellow should be familiar with the principles of clinical research, in particular as they relate to the design, ethics and statistical analysis of research study both from the perspective of the clinical investigator and that the urological oncologist seeking to apply the current best evidence to the care of an individual patient.

Overall Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit the fellow should be able to:

1. Design and interpret appropriate studies to address different types of clinical research questions.
2. Describe and understand integral components of clinical trials and observational studies.
3. Describe the strengths and weaknesses of various study designs
4. Define the ethical challenges and regulatory requirements for conducting clinical research.
5. Efficiently search the medical literature for the current best evidence.
6. Appraise clinical studies for their validity by recognizing potential sources of bias.
7. Interpret study results and appropriately apply them to the care of an individual patient.

Suggested Resources:

1. Designing Clinical Research: An Epidemiological Approach by Stephen B. Hulley et al. (2006)
2. User's Guide to the Medical Literature by Gordon Guyatt et al (2008)
3. Intuitive Biostatistics by Harvey Motulsky (1995)
4. Users' Guide to the Urological Literature series in Journal of Urology (2007-09)

Sections:

A. Evidence Based Medicine

a. Introduction

- i. Hierarchy of Evidence

- ii. “Evidence alone is never enough”
- b. How to Search the Medical Literature**
 - i. Pre-appraised evidence
 - ii. Primary evidence
 - iii. Other search tools
- c. How to interpret a study of therapy/prevention (Randomized controlled Trials, Cohort)**
 - i. Core concepts
 - 1. Random error and precision
 - 2. Systematic error and accuracy
 - 3. Surrogate endpoints
 - 4. Composite endpoints
 - 5. Health-related quality of life
 - 6. Direct and indirect costs
 - 7. Number needed-to-treat/harm (NNT/NNH)
 - 8. Adjustment for confounding in observational research (multivariable analysis, propensity score analysis, instrumental variable analysis)
 - ii. Validity Assessment (Randomization, allocation concealment, comparability at baseline, blinding, completeness of follow-up, early stopping for benefit)?
 - iii. Result Interpretation (Effect size and effect size precision)?
 - iv. Generalizability (Inclusion/exclusion criteria, balance of benefits and harm)
- d. How to Interpret a Study of Harm (Cohort, Case-control Studies and Case Series)**
 - i. Are the results valid (Inception cohort, Adjustment, Identification bias, Assessment bias, Completeness of follow-up)?
 - ii. Results interpretation (Strength of exposure and precision of estimate)?
 - iii. Applicability (Inclusion/exclusion criteria of study, length of follow-up, Magnitude of risk)
 - iv. Understand the issues confounding (known and unknown) in observational research
 - 1. Develop basic knowledge of methods to address confounding in observational research
- e. How to Use a Study about Prognosis (Cohort Studies):**
 - i. Core concepts:
 - 1. Inception cohort
 - 2. Risk factor versus prognostic factor
 - 3. Confounding variables
 - 4. Incidence and prevalence
 - 5. Odds ratio/Risk ratio
 - 6. Adjusted analysis
 - 7. Survival analysis
 - 8. Are the results valid (Representativeness and homogeneity of sample, Completeness of follow-up, objective and unbiased outcome assessments)
 - ii. Results Interpretation (Likelihood of outcomes over time, Precision of estimates)?
 - iii. Applicability (Inclusion/exclusion criteria, Adequacy of follow-up)
- f. How to Use a Study about Diagnosis (Cohort Studies):**
 - i. Core concepts
 - 1. Reference (gold) standard

2. Sensitivity and specificity, reviewer operator characteristic (ROC) curve
3. Probability revision (Pre-test and post-test probability)
4. Likelihood ratios
5. Test and treatment threshold
- ii. Validity Assessment (Blinded comparison, spectrum bias, verification bias)
- iii. Result interpretation (Likelihood ratios, sensitivity/specificity/positive and negative predictive value)
- iv. Applicability (Reproducibility, impact)

g. How to Use a Review Article (Systematic Reviews)

- i. Core Concepts
 1. Systematic versus narrative review
 2. Meta-analysis
 3. Summary statistic
 4. Heterogeneity/homogeneity
- ii. Validity assessment (Focused question, comprehensive search, assessment of study quality, reproducible study procedures)
- iii. Results assessment (Homogeneity, Effect size estimates and precision)?
- iv. Applicability (Relevance of endpoints to patient, benefit to risk ratio)

h. Clinical Practice Guidelines

- i. Are the Recommendations Valid (AGREE criteria)?
- ii. Method and basis of study inclusion in guideline development (expert opinion vs evidence based)
 1. Scope and purpose
 2. Stakeholder involvement
 3. Rigor of development
 4. Clarity and presentation
 5. Applicability
 6. Editorial independence
- iii. Institute of Medicine (IOM) standards for developing trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs)
 1. Establishing transparency
 2. Management of conflict of interest (COI)
 3. Guideline development group composition
 4. Clinical practice guideline-systematic review intersection
 5. Establishing evidence foundations for and rating strength of recommendations
 6. Articulation of recommendations
 7. External review
 8. Updating
- iv. Interpretation of Recommendations (Clarity and strength)
- v. Applicability
- i. Develop basis for considering guideline implementation (Dissemination science)**

B. Biostatistics

a. Types of statistical analyses

- i. Statistical estimation
- ii. Statistical hypothesis-testing

- iii. Statistical modeling
- b. P-values and confidence intervals**
 - i. Binomial distribution
 - 1. Proportions
 - 2. Confidence interval of a proportion
 - ii. Gaussian (normal) distribution
 - 1. Mean
 - 2. Standard deviation
 - 3. Confidence interval and standard error of a mean
 - iii. Familiarity with reasons to use parametric and non-parametric tests
- c. Statistical Significance and hypothesis-testing**
 - i. Type I and II errors
 - ii. Alpha
 - iii. Beta
 - iv. Power
- d. Sample size calculation**
 - i. One mean
 - ii. Difference between two means
 - iii. One proportion
 - iv. Two proportions
 - v. Two survival curves
- e. Correlation and Regression**
 - i. Correlation
 - 1. Interpreting correlation coefficients
 - 2. P-values
 - ii. Regression
 - 1. Simple linear regression
 - 2. Multiple regression
 - 3. Logistic regression
 - 4. Nonlinear regression
- f. Common statistical tests**
 - i. Comparing two groups – The fellow should have a working knowledge of the appropriateness of basic statistical testing
 - 1. Student T-test
 - 2. Mann Whitney test
 - 3. Paired-t test
 - 4. Wilcoxon rank sum test
 - 5. Chi-Square test
 - 6. Fisher's exact test
 - 7. McNemar Chi-Square test
 - 8. Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square test
 - ii. Comparing three or more groups
 - 1. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)
 - 2. One-way ANOVA
 - 3. Multiple comparison post tests (i.e. Bonferroni, Tukey)
 - 4. Repeated measures ANOVA
 - 5. Nonparametric ANOVA
 - 6. Two-way ANOVA
 - iii. Correlation
 - 1. Spearman rank correlation
 - 2. Pearson correlation

- iv. Multiple linear regression
- v. Logistic regression
- vi. Survival analysis
 - 1. Kaplan-Meier analysis
 - a. Log-rank test
 - 2. Cox proportional hazards regression
 - 3. Competing-risks analysis
- vii. Nonlinear regression

C. Designing a cohort study or clinical trial

- i. Hypothesis testing
- ii. Selecting the null and alternate hypothesis
- iii. Selection of optimal study design
 - 1. Familiarity with the strengths and limitations of study designs (RCT, cohort, case-control, nested case-control, etc.)
- iv. Identifying primary and secondary objectives
- v. Sampling techniques (convenience, cluster, random, population based)
- vi. Assessment of effect size and calculating sample size requirements
- vii. Clinical trials
 - 1. Phase I, II and III trial design
 - 2. Underlying concepts for trial design
 - 3. Alternate trial designs
 - 4. Blinding
 - 5. Protocol development
 - 6. Recruitment strategies
 - 7. Toxicity criteria
 - a. Standardized NIH common toxicity criteria
 - b. Standardized scales for surgical complication assessment (i.e. Clavien-Dindo)
 - 8. Stopping rules
 - 9. Interim analyses and their importance
 - 10. Creation and composition of a Data Safety Monitoring Board
 - 11. Infrastructure and resources needed for starting up a clinical trial
 - 12. Interaction with FDA and other federal agencies
 - a. Elements of an Investigational New Drug application
 - b. Approval process for devices and tests
 - 13. Budgeting for clinical trials
 - 14. Co-operative groups and their role in clinical trial development

D. Databases

- a. Publicly available databases
- b. Basics of database structure
- c. Advantages and disadvantages of using publicly available administrative datasets
 - i. Recognizing strengths and limitations to the use of administrative data
- d. How to set up an institutional database
 - i. Bioinformatics – basic concepts
 - ii. Setting up and accessing an institutional tissue repository
- e. Institutional infrastructure needed for setting up a database
- f. Designing forms and setting up process for building and maintaining a database

- g. Pooling of institutional datasets
- h. Available templates for building databases (eg. Caisis, RedCap)
- i. Data protection and collaboration

E. Quality of life studies

- a. Basis and importance of health-related quality of life (HRQOL) studies
- b. Available instruments relevant for HRQOL studies in cancer
 - i. Understand differences between general and disease-specific HRQOL instruments
 - ii. Have basic familiarity with “off the shelf” general and disease-specific HRQOL instruments (SF-36, FACT, EPIC, UCLA-PCI, FACT-VCI, etc.)
- c. Concepts in design and structure of HRQOL instrument
 - i. Understanding basic concepts of scale development
 - ii. Assessment of reliability and validity (face, content, construct, criterion)
- d. Measurement of patient satisfaction
- e. Measurement of utilities

F. Co-operative groups and funding

- a. Oncology co-operative group structure and CTEP
- b. SUO clinical trials consortium
- c. How to get involved in a co-operative group
- d. Benefits and pitfalls of co-operative group involvement
- e. Funding opportunities for clinical research
- f. Early career funding opportunities
- g. Grant writing tips
- h. Instructional courses and other resources for developing early career investigators

Bladder Cancer

1. Anatomy and pathology of bladder cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Recognize and demonstrate an understanding of the normal anatomy and physiology of the bladder
2. Understand the relationship of the anatomy of the bladder to surrounding organs, as pertains to gender-specific differences
3. Understand and identify the pathologic difference between normal bladder urothelium and bladder carcinoma
4. Identify the grade and stage of bladder cancer
5. Understand and identify the different histologic variants of bladder carcinoma

Contents:

1. Normal gross anatomy of the male and female bladder, including surgical relationships to pelvic floor, prostate, uterus, and vagina
2. Lymphatic drainage of the bladder, prostate, urachus, and urethra
3. Relationship of the bladder to the neuroanatomy of the pelvis
4. Histology of normal bladder and benign lesions of the bladder
 - a. Normal urothelium

- b. Papilloma
 - c. Inverted papilloma
 - d. Squamous and glandular metaplasia
 - e. Cystitis cystica
 - f. Cystitis glandularis
 - g. Nephrogenic adenoma
 - h. Malakoplakia
 - i. Inflammation
5. Histology of urothelial carcinoma grading¹
- a. WHO / ISUP classification 1973: Grade 1, 2, 3
 - b. WHO / ISUP classification 2004: Low vs. high grade
6. Histology of non-invasive bladder cancer
- a. Papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential (PUNLMP)
 - b. Ta bladder cancer
 - c. Carcinoma in situ
7. Histology of invasive urothelial carcinoma²
- a. Lamina propria invasion, with understanding of T1 substaging based on muscularis mucosa invasion
 - b. Muscularis propria invasion
 - c. Lymphovascular invasion – identify and understand prognostic significance³
8. Histology of variants of urothelial carcinoma
- a. Squamous cell carcinoma
 - b. Adenocarcinoma
 - c. Squamous and glandular differentiation of urothelial carcinoma
 - d. Micropapillary bladder cancer
 - e. Small cell carcinoma
 - f. Sarcomatoid carcinoma/carcinosarcoma
 - g. Lymphoepithelial-like carcinoma
 - h. Nested variant
 - i. Others

2. Epidemiology of bladder cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Demonstrate sufficient knowledge and understanding of the incidence and prevalence of bladder cancer, including gender differences
2. Demonstrate a clear understanding of the risk factors associated with the development of bladder cancer

Contents:

1. Epidemiology of bladder cancer, including typical age of onset, as well as racial, gender and ethnic demographics^{4,5}
2. Risk factors for bladder cancer⁶⁻⁹
 - a. Smoking
 - b. Industrial exposures
 - c. Other risk factors (radiation, others)
3. Presenting signs and symptoms, with relative frequencies¹⁰⁻¹⁴
4. Genetic Epidemiology

3. Diagnosis and staging of bladder cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Be able to describe the indications and appropriate testing for evaluation of signs and symptoms associated with bladder cancer.

2. Be able to interpret diagnostic test results, including imaging studies and urinary markers, and understand the limitations of each.
3. Understand and be familiar with the updated staging classification of bladder tumors
4. Be able to interpret clinical and pathologic factors that determine risk stratification of non-muscle invasive and muscle-invasive disease.

Contents:

1. AUA Guidelines for workup of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria¹⁰
2. Efficacy of cystoscopy and voided urine cytology in different stages and grades of NMI bladder cancer (including false positive and false negative results)
3. Urinary markers including cytology, BTA, NMP22 and Urovision FISH tests, as well as emerging urinary markers¹⁵⁻¹⁷
 - a. Biochemistry or molecular biology of each marker
 - b. Diagnostic efficacy in different stages and grades of urothelial cancer
4. Impact of new cystoscopic technologies including fluorescent cystoscopy (CysviewTM)^{18,19} and narrow band imaging²⁰ for the detection and resection of NMI bladder cancer.
5. Indications for and evaluation of CT scan, CT Urography, MRI, bone scans, chest imaging and PET Scan in bladder cancer patients²¹⁻²³
6. Indications for resection of tumor and re-resection of tumor after initial diagnosis
7. Indications for and efficacy of EUA (examination under anesthesia) and correlation with clinical and pathologic staging

4. Management of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management and complications associated with transurethral surgery of the bladder.
2. Master endoscopic surgical procedures required to evaluate the bladder for neoplasm, including cystoscopy, retrograde pyelography, ureteroscopy, bladder biopsy, urethral biopsy, and transurethral resection of tumors in the bladder.
3. Understand the role and method of repeat transurethral resection.
4. Understand the role and method of examination under anesthesia (EUA).
5. Understand the indications, contra-indications, and scheduling of intravesical therapy for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.
6. Recognize the potential adverse side effects associated with a specific intravesical agent and the management of the adverse side effects.
7. Understand and describe anticipated results from the use of intravesical therapy, and be knowledgeable about the methods and frequency of the surveillance protocols.
8. Understand the importance of considering patient factors (i.e. competing causes of mortality) in treatment decisions

Contents:

- a. Risk stratification of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer²⁴
- b. Indication for bladder biopsy²⁵
 - i. Directed versus random biopsies
 - ii. Biopsy of the prostatic urethra
 - iii. Biopsies of the tumor base
- c. Surgical technique for transurethral resection of bladder tumors (TURBT)²⁶
 - i. Goals of resection
 - ii. Factors influencing efficacy

- iii. Perioperative management
 - iv. Risks and complications of TURBT and their management²⁷
 - v. Selection of patients for 'staged TURBT' for appropriate clearance of tumors
 - vi. Interpretation of pathology report from TURBT and understanding of markers of adequate resection
- d. Role of repeat TURBT²⁸
- e. Role of peri-operative chemotherapy²⁹
- i. Selection of appropriate chemotherapeutic agent
 - ii. Timing and appropriate dosing
 - iii. Relative and absolute contraindications
 - iv. Associated adverse effects
- f. Immunotherapy (BCG +/- interferon) and chemotherapy (mitomycin C, others)^{26,30-35}
- i. Indications and efficacy in each stage/grade of disease
 - ii. Dose, concentration and dosing schedule of each agent
 - Including maintenance therapy³⁶
 - iii. Factors associated with improved efficacy (i.e. restriction of urinary volume)³⁷
 - iv. Understanding of new technologies such as electromotive delivery of intravesical chemotherapy^{38,39}
 - v. Risks and complications⁴⁰⁻⁴²
 - vi. Management of complications of therapy
 - vii. Appropriate strategies to adjust therapy to adverse effects to optimize duration of therapy (i.e. dose reduction with BCG).
 - viii. Timing of repeat cystoscopy and biopsy
 - ix. Special considerations
 - i. Immunosuppression
 - ii. Variant histology (i.e. micropapillary)⁴³
 - x. Randomized comparative studies and meta-analyses
- g. BCG Failure⁴⁴
- i. Differentiation between early and late failures (>12 months disease free interval) and its prognostic significance
 - ii. Differentiation in determination of response in Cis versus high grade T1 disease
 - iii. Differentiation between BCG refractory, BCG resistant, BCG intolerant and BCG relapsing disease and their significance
 - iv. Management of BCG Failure
 - 1. Role of radical cystectomy
 - 2. Role of second line intravesical therapy and inherent risks and limitations
- h. Post-TURBT surveillance
- i. Timing and frequency of cystoscopy
 - ii. Timing and frequency of upper tract imaging

- i. Role of radical cystectomy in NMI bladder cancer.
 - i. Patients with tumors too extensive for TURBT
 - ii. Patients with NMI at high-risk for progression –i.e. identify adverse prognostic features in patients with high-grade T1 disease – associated CIS, LVI, tumor size/multifocality
 - iii. Risk of understaging
 - iv. Efficacy
 - v. Risks and complications
 - vi. Extent of node dissection with respect to stage of bladder cancer

- j. Guidelines for management of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer^{45,46}

5. Management of muscle-invasive bladder cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management and complications associated with radical cystectomy surgery of the bladder (intra-operative, post-operative and long-term). Be familiar with long-term outcomes following surgery, and prognostic variables associated with survival.

2. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management and complications associated with partial cystectomy (intra-operative, postoperative and long-term). Be familiar with long-term outcomes following partial cystectomy, and prognostic variables associated with survival.

3. Master surgical procedures related to radical cystectomy for bladder cancer
 - a. Understand the extent and limits of node dissection
 - b. Understand the optimal methods of resection of the urinary bladder with specific reference to reducing positive margins and improving quality of life (i.e. neurovascular bundle preservation)

4. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, technique, management and complications of different types of urinary diversions

- a. Ileal conduit urinary diversion
 - b. Orthotopic continent urinary diversion⁴⁷
 - c. Cutaneous continent urinary diversion
5. Understand the indications, contra-indications, and scheduling of radiation therapy for bladder cancer. Be familiar with long-term outcomes following radiation, and prognostic variables associated with survival.
 6. Recognize the potential adverse side effects associated with radiation therapy and the management of side effects.
 7. Understand the role and outcomes for management of muscle-invasive bladder cancer with transurethral resection alone
 8. Understand the importance of considering patient factors (i.e. competing causes of mortality) in treatment decisions

Contents:

1. Indication for radical cystectomy and partial cystectomy
 - a. Role of pre-operative biopsies
 - b. Biopsy of the prostatic urethra
2. Partial cystectomy⁴⁸
 - a. Goal of partial cystectomy
 - b. Factors influencing efficacy
 - c. Intraoperative assessment of margin status
 - d. Perioperative management
 - e. Risks and complications of partial cystectomy their management
3. Radical Cystectomy
 - a. Goal of radical cystectomy
 - b. Factors influencing efficacy
 - c. Perioperative management
 - d. Risks and complications of radical cystectomy and their management
 - e. Long-term outcomes and prognostic variables associated with survival – clinicopathologic, molecular
4. Surgical technique for urinary diversions
 - a. Goal of Urinary Diversions
 - b. Factors influencing choice of diversion

- c. Perioperative management
 - d. Risks and complications of urinary diversions and their management
5. Trimodal therapy for bladder cancer^{49,50}
- a. Patient selection
 - i. Appropriate staging
 - ii. Random biopsies
 - b. Multidisciplinary management
 - iii. Concomitant chemotherapy
 - iv. Doses and dose schedules for radiation
 - v. Role of re-resection and possible interim re-biopsy
 - vi. Role of salvage radical cystectomy
 - vii. Cystoscopic surveillance post-radiation
 - c. Expected outcomes
 - i. Toxicity
 - 1. Early
 - 2. Late (including bladder dysfunction)
 - ii. Rate of bladder preservation
 - iii. Oncologic outcomes
 - iv. Surgical management of NMI recurrences
6. Radiation for chemo-ineligible patients
7. Palliative radiation
- a. Indications
 - b. Expected outcomes
 - c. Dose and fractionation
8. Transurethral resection alone for muscle-invasive bladder cancer
- a. Indications
 - b. Technique
 - c. Outcomes

6. Chemotherapy for bladder cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Understand the indications, contra-indications, and scheduling of systemic chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer.
2. Recognize the utility of systemic chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting and appropriate patient selection for each.
3. Understand the indications, contra-indications, and scheduling of systemic chemotherapy for metastatic bladder cancer.

Contents:

1. Use of perioperative chemotherapy for high-risk, resectable, muscle-invasive disease (cT2-4aN0M0)
 - a. Indications, Sequence and Timing of multi-modality management
 - b. Pros and cons of neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy in comparison – be familiar with published randomized clinical trial data, as well as noted limitations of these studies⁵¹⁻⁵⁵
 - c. Expected outcomes
 - i. Toxicity
 1. Acute
 2. Perioperative
 3. Long-term
 - ii. Efficacy
 - iii. Understand the rationale for radical cystectomy following neoadjuvant chemotherapy – i.e. be familiar with the data regarding the outcomes for patients who do not undergo cystectomy following chemotherapy
2. Use of chemotherapy for potentially resectable disease (i.e. cT4bNx or cTxN+ or oligometastatic disease)⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸
 - a. Indications, Sequence and Timing of multi-modality management
 - b. Identifying appropriate candidates for surgical consolidation
 - c. Expected outcomes
 - i. Toxicity
 1. Acute
 2. Perioperative
 3. Long-term
 - ii. Efficacy
3. Chemotherapy for metastatic disease
 - a. First line
 - b. Second line
4. Standard Chemotherapy Regimens
 - a. “Fit” patients (high performance status, adequate renal, etc.)
 - i. Agents, Regimens, rationale for combination²⁵

- ii. Expected toxicity and management
 - iii. Efficacy benchmarks
- b. “Unfit” patients (i.e. poor performance status, poor renal function, pre-existing neuropathy, pre-existing hearing loss, advanced age)
 - i. Agents, Regimens, rationale for combination
 - ii. Expected toxicity and management
 - iii. Efficacy benchmarks
- c. Small cell histology
 - i. Agents, Regimens, rationale for combination⁵⁹
 - ii. Expected toxicity and management
 - iii. Efficacy benchmarks
 - iv. Consolidative surgery versus radiation therapy

Important publications

1. Sauter G, Algaba F, Amin M, et al: Tumours of the urinary system: non-invasive urothelial neoplasias, in Eble JN, Sauter G, Epstein JI, et al (eds): WHO classification of classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs. Lyon, IARCC Press, 2004
2. Sobin LH, Wittekind C: Urinary Bladder, International Union against Cancer (UICC):TNM: Classification of Malignant Tumors. New York, Wiley-Liss, 1997, pp 187-190
3. Streeper NM, Simons CM, Konety BR, et al: The significance of lymphovascular invasion in transurethral resection of bladder tumour and cystectomy specimens on the survival of patients with urothelial bladder cancer. *BJU Int* 103:475-9, 2009
4. Fleshner NE, Herr HW, Stewart AK, et al: The National Cancer Data Base report on bladder carcinoma. The American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer and the American Cancer Society. *Cancer* 78:1505-13, 1996
5. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 62:10-29, 2012
6. Kaldor JM, Day NE, Kittelmann B, et al: Bladder tumours following chemotherapy and radiotherapy for ovarian cancer: a case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 63:1-6, 1995
7. Kantor AF, Hartge P, Hoover RN, et al: Urinary tract infection and risk of bladder cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 119:510-5, 1984
8. Morrison AS: Advances in the etiology of urothelial cancer. *Urol Clin North Am* 11:557-66, 1984
9. Morrison AS, Cole P: Epidemiology of bladder cancer. *Urol Clin North Am* 3:13-29, 1976
10. Davis R, Jones JS, Barocas DA, et al: Diagnosis, evaluation and follow-up of asymptomatic microhematuria (AMH) in adults: AUA guideline. *J Urol* 188:2473-81, 2012
11. Messing EM, Vaillancourt A: Hematuria screening for bladder cancer. *J Occup Med* 32:838-45, 1990

12. Messing EM, Young TB, Hunt VB, et al: Comparison of bladder cancer outcome in men undergoing hematuria home screening versus those with standard clinical presentations. *Urology* 45:387-96; discussion 396-7, 1995
13. Schwalb DM, Herr HW, Fair WR: The management of clinically unconfirmed positive urinary cytology. *J Urol* 150:1751-6, 1993
14. Varkarakis MJ, Gaeta J, Moore RH, et al: Superficial bladder tumor. Aspects of clinical progression. *Urology* 4:414-20, 1974
15. Grossman HB, Messing E, Soloway M, et al: Detection of bladder cancer using a point-of-care proteomic assay. *JAMA* 293:810-6, 2005
16. Grossman HB, Soloway M, Messing E, et al: Surveillance for recurrent bladder cancer using a point-of-care proteomic assay. *JAMA* 295:299-305, 2006
17. Fritsche HM, Burger M, Dietmaier W, et al: Multicolor FISH (UroVysion) facilitates follow-up of patients with high-grade urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Am J Clin Pathol* 134:597-603, 2010
18. Fradet Y, Grossman HB, Gomella L, et al: A comparison of hexaminolevulinate fluorescence cystoscopy and white light cystoscopy for the detection of carcinoma in situ in patients with bladder cancer: a phase III, multicenter study. *J Urol* 178:68-73; discussion 73, 2007
19. Grossman HB, Stenzl A, Fradet Y, et al: Long-term decrease in bladder cancer recurrence with hexaminolevulinate enabled fluorescence cystoscopy. *J Urol* 188:58-62, 2012
20. Naselli A, Introini C, Timossi L, et al: A randomized prospective trial to assess the impact of transurethral resection in narrow band imaging modality on non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrence. *Eur Urol* 61:908-13, 2012
21. Husband JE, Olliff JF, Williams MP, et al: Bladder cancer: staging with CT and MR imaging. *Radiology* 173:435-40, 1989
22. Sager EM, Talle K, Fossa SD, et al: Contrast-enhanced computed tomography to show perivesical extension in bladder carcinoma. *Acta Radiol* 28:307-11, 1987
23. Vargas HA, Akin O, Schoder H, et al: Prospective evaluation of MRI, (1)(1)C-acetate PET/CT and contrast-enhanced CT for staging of bladder cancer. *Eur J Radiol* 81:4131-7, 2012
24. Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden AP, Oosterlinck W, et al: Predicting recurrence and progression in individual patients with stage Ta T1 bladder cancer using EORTC risk tables: a combined analysis of 2596 patients from seven EORTC trials. *Eur Urol* 49:466-5; discussion 475-7, 2006
25. van der Meijden A, Oosterlinck W, Brausi M, et al: Significance of bladder biopsies in Ta,T1 bladder tumors: a report from the EORTC Genito-Urinary Tract Cancer Cooperative Group. EORTC-GU Group Superficial Bladder Committee. *Eur Urol* 35:267-71, 1999
26. Kulkarni GS, Hakenberg OW, Gschwend JE, et al: An updated critical analysis of the treatment strategy for newly diagnosed high-grade T1 (previously T1G3) bladder cancer. *Eur Urol* 57:60-70, 2010

27. Balbay MD, Cimentepe E, Unsal A, et al: The actual incidence of bladder perforation following transurethral bladder surgery. *J Urol* 174:2260-2, discussion 2262-3, 2005
28. Herr HW: The value of a second transurethral resection in evaluating patients with bladder tumors. *J Urol* 162:74-6, 1999
29. von der Maase H, Sengelov L, Roberts JT, et al: Long-term survival results of a randomized trial comparing gemcitabine plus cisplatin, with methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, plus cisplatin in patients with bladder cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 23:4602-8, 2005
30. Joudi FN, Smith BJ, O'Donnell MA: Final results from a national multicenter phase II trial of combination bacillus Calmette-Guerin plus interferon alpha-2B for reducing recurrence of superficial bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol* 24:344-8, 2006
31. Morales A, Eidinger D, Bruce AW: Intracavitary Bacillus Calmette-Guerin in the treatment of superficial bladder tumors. *J Urol* 116:180-3, 1976
32. Lamm DL, Blumenstein BA, Crawford ED, et al: A randomized trial of intravesical doxorubicin and immunotherapy with bacille Calmette-Guerin for transitional-cell carcinoma of the bladder. *N Engl J Med* 325:1205-9, 1991
33. de Reijke TM, Kurth KH, Sylvester RJ, et al: Bacillus Calmette-Guerin versus epirubicin for primary, secondary or concurrent carcinoma in situ of the bladder: results of a European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer--Genito-Urinary Group Phase III Trial (30906). *J Urol* 173:405-9, 2005
34. Sylvester RJ, van der MA, Lamm DL: Intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin reduces the risk of progression in patients with superficial bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of the published results of randomized clinical trials. *J Urol* 168:1964-70, 2002
35. Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden AP, Witjes JA, et al: Bacillus calmette-guerin versus chemotherapy for the intravesical treatment of patients with carcinoma in situ of the bladder: a meta-analysis of the published results of randomized clinical trials. *J Urol* 174:86-91; discussion 91-2, 2005
36. Lamm DL, Blumenstein BA, Crissman JD, et al: Maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guerin immunotherapy for recurrent TA, T1 and carcinoma in situ transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a randomized Southwest Oncology Group Study. *J Urol* 163:1124-9, 2000
37. Au JL, Badalament RA, Wientjes MG, et al: Methods to improve efficacy of intravesical mitomycin C: results of a randomized phase III trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 93:597-604, 2001
38. Di Stasi SM, Giannantoni A, Giurioli A, et al: Sequential BCG and electromotive mitomycin versus BCG alone for high-risk superficial bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 7:43-51, 2006
39. Di Stasi SM, Valenti M, Verri C, et al: Electromotive instillation of mitomycin immediately before transurethral resection for patients with primary urothelial non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 12:871-9, 2011

40. Lamm DL: Complications of bacillus Calmette-Guerin immunotherapy. *Urol Clin North Am* 19:565-72, 1992
41. Lamm DL, van der Meijden PM, Morales A, et al: Incidence and treatment of complications of bacillus Calmette-Guerin intravesical therapy in superficial bladder cancer. *J Urol* 147:596-600, 1992
42. Veigt PD, van der Meijden AP, Sylvester R, et al: Does isoniazid reduce side effects of intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin therapy in superficial bladder cancer? Interim results of European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Protocol 30911. *J Urol* 157:1246-9, 1997
43. Kamat AM, Dinney CP, Gee JR, et al: Micropapillary bladder cancer: a review of the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center experience with 100 consecutive patients. *Cancer* 110:62-7, 2007
44. Yates DR, Brausi MA, Catto JW, et al: Treatment options available for bacillus Calmette-Guerin failure in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol* 62:1088-96, 2012
45. Babjuk M, Oosterlinck W, Sylvester R, et al: EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder, the 2011 update. *Eur Urol* 59:997-1008, 2011
46. Kassouf W, Kamat AM, Zlotta A, et al: Canadian guidelines for treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: a focus on intravesical therapy. *Can Urol Assoc J* 4:168-73, 2010
47. Kassouf W, Hautmann RE, Bochner BH, et al: A critical analysis of orthotopic bladder substitutes in adult patients with bladder cancer: is there a perfect solution? *Eur Urol* 58:374-83, 2010
48. Knoedler JJ, Boorjian SA, Kim SP, et al: Does partial cystectomy compromise oncologic outcomes for patients with bladder cancer compared to radical cystectomy? A matched case-control analysis. *J Urol* 188:1115-9, 2012
49. James ND, Hussain SA, Hall E, et al: Radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med* 366:1477-88, 2012
50. Efstathiou JA, Spiegel DY, Shipley WU, et al: Long-term outcomes of selective bladder preservation by combined-modality therapy for invasive bladder cancer: the MGH experience. *Eur Urol* 61:705-11, 2012
51. Adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer (individual patient data). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*:CD006018, 2006
52. Grossman HB, Natale RB, Tangen CM, et al: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus cystectomy compared with cystectomy alone for locally advanced bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med* 349:859-66, 2003
53. Millikan R, Dinney C, Swanson D, et al: Integrated therapy for locally advanced bladder cancer: final report of a randomized trial of cystectomy plus adjuvant M-VAC versus cystectomy with both preoperative and postoperative M-VAC. *J Clin Oncol* 19:4005-13, 2001
54. Lehmann J, Retz M, Wiemers C, et al: Adjuvant cisplatin plus methotrexate versus methotrexate, vinblastine, epirubicin, and cisplatin in locally advanced bladder cancer: results of a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial (AUO-AB 05/95). *J Clin Oncol* 23:4963-74, 2005

55. Sternberg CN, de Mulder P, Schornagel JH, et al: Seven year update of an EORTC phase III trial of high-dose intensity M-VAC chemotherapy and G-CSF versus classic M-VAC in advanced urothelial tract tumours. *Eur J Cancer* 42:50-4, 2006
56. Lehmann J, Suttman H, Albers P, et al: Surgery for metastatic urothelial carcinoma with curative intent: the German experience (AUO AB 30/05). *Eur Urol* 55:1293-9, 2009
57. Siefker-Radtke AO, Walsh GL, Pisters LL, et al: Is there a role for surgery in the management of metastatic urothelial cancer? The M. D. Anderson experience. *J Urol* 171:145-8, 2004
58. Sweeney P, Millikan R, Donat M, et al: Is there a therapeutic role for post-chemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection in metastatic transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder? *J Urol* 169:2113-7, 2003
59. Siefker-Radtke AO, Kamat AM, Grossman HB, et al: Phase II clinical trial of neoadjuvant alternating doublet chemotherapy with ifosfamide/doxorubicin and etoposide/cisplatin in small-cell urothelial cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 27:2592-7, 2009

Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma

1. Anatomy and pathology

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

6. Recognize and demonstrate an understanding of the normal anatomy and physiology of the upper collecting systems and ureters
7. Understand the relationship of the anatomy of the upper tract collecting system and ureters to surrounding organs, as pertains to gender-specific differences
8. Understand and identify the pathologic difference between normal urothelium and urothelial carcinoma
9. Identify the grade and stage of urothelial cancer
10. Understand and identify the different histologic variants of urothelial carcinoma

Contents:

9. Normal gross anatomy of the male and female upper urinary tracts, including surgical relationships to renal parenchyma, great vessels, adrenal gland, retroperitoneal musculature, pelvic floor, prostate, uterus, ovaries, ovarian blood supply, and vagina
10. Lymphatic drainage of the kidneys and ureters
11. Relationship of the kidneys and ureters to the neuroanatomy of the retroperitoneum
12. Histology of normal urothelium

13. Histology of urothelial carcinoma grading

- a. WHO / ISUP classification 1973: Grade 1, 2, 3
- b. WHO / ISUP classification 2004: Low vs. high grade

14. Histology of non-invasive urothelium

- a. Papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential (PUNLMP) and its virtual non-existence in the upper tracts
- b. Ta urothelial carcinoma
- c. Carcinoma in situ

15. Histology of invasive urothelial carcinoma

- a. Lamina propria invasion
- b. Muscularis propria invasion
- c. Lymphovascular invasion – identify and understand prognostic significance

16. Histology of variants of urothelial carcinoma

- a. Squamous and glandular differentiation of urothelial carcinoma
- b. Micropapillary cancer
- c. Small cell carcinoma
- d. Sarcomatoid carcinoma/carcinosarcoma
- e. Lymphoepithelial-like carcinoma
- f. Nested variant
- g. Pure non-urothelial histology (e.g. squamous or adenocarcinoma) are rare
- h. Collecting duct carcinoma may share embryologic origins with urothelial carcinoma

2. Epidemiology of upper tract urothelial carcinoma

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

3. Demonstrate sufficient knowledge and understanding of the incidence and prevalence of upper tract urothelial carcinoma
4. Demonstrate a clear understanding of the risk factors associated with the development of upper tract urothelial carcinoma

Contents:

5. Epidemiology of upper tract urothelial cancer, including typical age of onset, as well as racial, gender and ethnic demographics
6. Risk factors for upper tract urothelial cancer
 - a. Bladder cancer history
 - b. Smoking
 - c. Industrial exposures
 - d. Other risk factors (radiation, inflammation, Balkan nephropathy, aristolochic acid)
7. Presenting signs and symptoms
8. Familial / hereditary Epidemiology (esp. Lynch syndrome)

3. Diagnosis and staging of upper tract urothelial carcinoma

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

5. Be able to describe the indications and appropriate testing for evaluation of signs and symptoms associated with upper tract urothelial cancer.
6. Be able to interpret diagnostic test results, including imaging studies and urinary markers, and understand the limitations of each.
7. Understand and be familiar with the staging classification of upper tract urothelial tumors
8. Be able to interpret clinical and pathologic factors that determine risk stratification of high and low risk disease.

Contents:

8. AUA Guidelines for workup of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria
9. Efficacy of ureteroscopy, ureteral / renal washings, targeted and voided urine cytology in different stages and grades of upper tract urothelial cancer (including false positive and false negative results)
10. Role of urinary markers including cytology, BTA, NMP22 and Urovision FISH tests, as well as emerging urinary markers
 - a. Biochemistry or molecular biology of each marker
 - b. Diagnostic efficacy in different stages and grades of urothelial cancer
11. Indications for and evaluation of CT scan, CT Urography, MRI, bone scans, chest imaging and PET Scan in upper tract urothelial cancer patients
12. Understanding limitations of clinical staging (i.e. on imaging and biopsy) and role of clinical variables such as hydronephrosis, tumor grade, and cytology in improving accuracy of clinical staging.

4. Management of low grade / low stage upper tract urothelial cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

9. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management and complications associated with endoscopic management of upper tract urothelial carcinoma.
10. Master endoscopic surgical procedures required to evaluate and treat the ureter and upper tract collecting system for neoplasm, including cystoscopy, retrograde pyelography, ureteroscopy, and percutaneous nephroscopic treatments.
11. Understand the indications, contraindications, scheduling, and risks of using intravesical agents in the upper tracts.

12. Understand and describe anticipated results from the use of intravesical therapy in the upper tracts, and be knowledgeable about the methods and frequency of the surveillance protocols.
13. Understand the importance of considering patient factors (i.e. competing causes of mortality) in treatment decisions

Contents:

- a. Risk stratification of upper tract urothelial cancers
- b. Indication for upper tract biopsy
 - i. Directed biopsies using conventional biopsy tools
 - ii. Directed biopsies using stone baskets
 - iii. Directed biopsies using backloading biopsy tools
 - iv. Biopsies of the tumor base
- c. Surgical technique for endoscopic treatment of upper tract urothelial carcinoma
 - i. Goals of resection / ablation
 - ii. Factors influencing efficacy
 - iii. Understanding of obtaining appropriate access to the upper tract
 1. Use of access sheaths
 2. Flexible ureteroscopy
 3. Semirigid ureteroscopy
 4. Appropriateness of dilation of ureteral orifices / strictures
 5. Percutaneous nephroscopic access
 - iv. Energy modalities uses for tumor ablation
 1. Electrocautery
 2. LASER energy
 - a. Holmium:YAG
 - b. Neodymium:YAG
 - v. Perioperative management
 - vi. Risks and complications of endoscopic management
 - vii. Selection of patients for “staged” upper tract tumor endoscopic management for appropriate clearance of tumors
 - viii. Interpretation of pathology report and understanding of markers of adequate resection / ablation
- d. Role of repeat endoscopic surveillance / tumor ablation
- e. Post-endoscopic treatment surveillance
 - i. Timing and frequency of ureteroscopy and upper tract imaging
 - ii. Timing and frequency of lower tract endoscopy

- f. Role of nephroureterectomy for management of low grade upper tract urothelial cancer.
 - i. Patients with tumors too extensive for endoscopic management
 - ii. Patients with tumors at high-risk for progression –i.e. identify adverse prognostic features in patients upper tract disease – large size, multifocality, challenging location, associated hydronephrosis, high grade cytology.
 - iii. Risk of understaging
 - iv. Efficacy – risk of incomplete endoscopic ablation
 - v. Risks and complications

5. Management of high grade / high stage upper tract urothelial carcinoma

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

- 9. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management and complications associated with nephroureterectomy. Be familiar with long-term outcomes following surgery, and prognostic variables associated with survival.
- 10. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management and complications associated with segmental and distal ureterectomy (intra-operative, postoperative and long-term). Be familiar with long-term outcomes following ureterectomy, and prognostic variables associated with survival and recurrence.
- 11. Master surgical procedures related to nephroureterectomy and distal ureterectomy
 - a. Understand the role, extent, and limits of node dissection – extrapolation of rationale from bladder cancer data, awareness of existing data in upper tract disease, recognition of impact of limitations in preoperative staging
 - b. Understand the optimal methods of resection of the kidney and ureter
- 12. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, technique, management, complications and limitation of different types of bladder cuff management
 - a. intravesical
 - b. extravesical
 - c. endoscopic

13. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of available prospective randomized trial data for administration of intravesical chemotherapy in order to reduce risks of bladder recurrence.
14. Understand the importance of considering patient factors (i.e. competing causes of mortality) in treatment decisions

Contents:

9. Indication for nephroureterectomy and distal ureterectomy
 - d. Role of pre-operative biopsies
 - e. Role of pre-operative cytology
 - f. Role of tumor location, size, and multifocality
10. Distal ureterectomy
 - f. Goal of distal ureterectomy
 - g. Factors influencing efficacy
 - h. Intraoperative assessment of margin status
 - i. Perioperative management
 - j. Risks and complications and their management
11. Nephroureterectomy
 - f. Goal of nephroureterectomy
 - g. Factors influencing efficacy
 - h. Perioperative management
 - i. Risks and complications of nephroureterectomy and their management
 - j. Long-term outcomes and prognostic variables associated with survival – clinicopathologic, molecular
 - k. Role of intravesical chemotherapy to prevent bladder tumor recurrence
12. Surgical technique for nephroureterectomy and distal ureterectomy
 - e. Open surgery
 - i. Single incision approach
 - ii. Two incision approach
 - f. Laparoscopic surgery
 - i. Transperitoneal approach
 - ii. Retroperitoneoscopic approach
 - iii. Robotic assistance
 - g. Bladder cuff management
 - i. intravesical
 - ii. extravesical
 - iii. endoscopic

6. Chemotherapy for upper tract urothelial carcinoma

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

4. Understand the indications, contra-indications, scheduling, and limitations of available data for systemic chemotherapy for high risk upper tract urothelial carcinoma.
5. Recognize the utility of systemic chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting and appropriate patient selection for each.
6. Understand the indications, contra-indications, and scheduling of systemic chemotherapy for metastatic urothelial upper tract cancer.

Contents:

5. Use of perioperative chemotherapy for resectable, high-risk upper tract urothelial carcinoma
 - a. Indications, Sequence and Timing of multi-modality management
 - b. Pros and cons of neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy – extrapolation of bladder cancer data, understand expected reduction in renal function following nephroureterectomy and implications on receipt of cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy
 - c. Expected outcomes
 - i. Toxicity
 1. Acute
 2. Perioperative
 3. Long-term
 - ii. Efficacy
 - iii. Extrapolation of data from muscle-invasive bladder cancer cohorts
6. Use of chemotherapy for potentially unresectable disease (i.e. cT4bNx or cTxN+ or oligometastatic disease)
 - a. Indications, Sequence and Timing of multi-modality management
 - b. Identifying appropriate candidates for surgical consolidation after initial chemotherapy for advanced disease
 - c. Expected outcomes
 - i. Toxicity
 1. Acute
 2. Perioperative
 3. Long-term
 - ii. Efficacy
7. Chemotherapy for metastatic disease

- a. First line
- b. Second line

8. Standard Chemotherapy Regimens

- a. "Fit" patients (high performance status, adequate renal, etc.)
 - i. Agents, Regimens, rationale for combination
 - ii. Expected toxicity and management
 - iii. Efficacy benchmarks
- b. "Unfit" patients (i.e. poor performance status, poor renal function, pre-existing neuropathy, pre-existing hearing loss, advanced age)
 - i. Agents, Regimens, rationale for combination
 - ii. Expected toxicity and management
 - iii. Efficacy benchmarks
- c. Small cell histology
 - i. Agents, Regimens, rationale for combination
 - ii. Expected toxicity and management
 - iii. Efficacy benchmarks

RENAL PARENCHYMAL MASSES

Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow will have mastered the pertinent epidemiology, anatomy, pathology, evaluation, and management of renal parenchymal masses.

I: Histology, pathology, epidemiology of renal masses

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit the urologic oncology fellow will understand:

1. The various types of benign and malignant masses that arise within the renal parenchyma
2. The pathologic features that are significant in renal cell carcinoma
3. The epidemiology of renal masses
4. Etiologic factors for renal masses, including genetic aberrations, immunologic factors, and environmental factors

Contents

1. WHO Classification of renal masses, benign and malignant
2. Epidemiology of renal masses - incidence and prevalence of each type of renal mass
3. Etiology
 - a. Environmental factors
 - b. Genetic factors
 - c. Patient factors – i.e. HTN, obesity, smoking
4. Pathologic features that predict outcome for renal cell carcinoma (RCC)
 - a. Stage
 - b. Grade
 - c. Vascular invasion
 - d. Fat invasion
 - e. Collecting system involvement
 - f. Tumor size
 - g. Tumor necrosis
 - h. Histology
 - i. Clear cell
 1. Differentiate and explain significance of solid conventional RCC versus cystic RCC
 - ii. Papillary
 - iii. Chromophobe
 - iv. Other
 - v. Benign renal tumor pathology- angiomyolipoma, oncocytoma
 - i. Sarcomatoid features
 - j. Additional pathology, including immunostaining for molecular factors

II: Renal Mass Presentation, Diagnosis, Evaluation

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow will have accomplished:

1. Understand the signs, symptoms, and presentation of renal masses
2. Elucidate the critical points in the diagnosis and evaluation of renal masses

Contents

1. Symptoms/signs of renal masses, benign and malignant
 - a. Classic triad: flank pain, hematuria, mass
 - b. Symptoms associated with metastatic RCC
 - c. Clinical features (including frequent multi-organ system manifestations) associated with renal tumor syndromes and genetic testing for suspected syndromes – understanding of the genes and, when known, subsequent molecular pathways associated with various genetic RCC/renal tumor syndromes, specifically:
 - i. VHL
 - ii. TS
 - iii. BHD
 - iv. Familial papillary RCC
 - v. HLRCC
2. Current frequency and clinical significance of an incidentally discovered renal mass versus symptomatic renal mass
3. History and physical examination
4. Imaging studies for diagnosis and staging of renal masses
 - a. Relative value, uses and indications for abdominal imaging modalities including:
 - i. CT
 - ii. MRI
 - iii. Ultrasound
 - b. Indications and appropriate use of staging studies
 - i. Chest x-ray
 - ii. CT
 - iii. Bone scan
 - iv. Head CT/MRI
 - v. PET
5. Biopsy of renal masses
 - a. Indications for renal mass biopsy
 - b. Data pertaining to accuracy of renal mass biopsy
6. Recognition of features suggestive of primary renal tumors versus secondary tumors/metastasis to the kidney
7. Laboratory studies for the newly diagnosed renal mass, including studies for paraneoplastic syndromes and studies that aid in prognostication

III. Staging and Prognosis

Unit objectives

Upon completion of this unit the urologic oncology fellow should understand the current and relevant historic staging systems for RCC, the prognosis based on stage, and prognostic models for RCC.

Contents

1. AJCC/UICC staging, current system
 - a. Outcome data
2. Robson staging
3. Clinical data on size of renal mass as it pertains to pathology, chance of metastasis, and oncologic outcomes
4. Established prognostic models integrating multiple clinicopathologic features
5. Models and standards for evaluation and follow up after treatment of benign and malignant renal masses
 - a. Follow up for benign renal lesions after resection
 - b. Stratification of risk and follow up for localized RCC
 - c. Stratification of risk and follow up for metastatic RCC

IV: Management of Localized RCC

Unit Objectives

Discuss options for management and comparative outcomes for each modality of managing incidental small renal masses, including impact on renal function, cancer-specific mortality, and all-cause mortality. Discuss management of larger renal tumors, including locally-advanced disease and venous tumor thrombus. Demonstrate intimate understanding of surgical anatomy of the kidney.

Contents

1. Anatomy
 - a. Surface anatomy and landmarks for surgery
 - b. Incisions and approaches
 - c. Vascular anatomy of the kidney, great vessels and lymphatics
 - d. General abdominal and retroperitoneal anatomy
2. Recognition and management of benign or likely indolent renal masses
 - a. Cystic neoplasms
 - i. Bosniak classification (I, II, IIF, III, IV)
 - ii. Features suggestive of benign/malignant
 - iii. Indications for intervention and modalities of treatment

- iv. Outcome
- b. AML
 - i. Indications for treatment and modalities of therapy
- c. Small solid renal masses
 - i. Data pertaining to size and incidence of metastasis
 - ii. Size and histology
 - iii. Management options for the small renal mass (fellows' understanding of the interaction of competing causes of morbidity/mortality for patients with a small renal mass is critical to decision-making regarding management)
 - 1. Active surveillance
 - a. Protocols for surveillance
 - b. Role of biopsy
 - c. Published outcomes to date – renal tumor growth rate during observation, tumor progression, mortality
 - d. Indications for treatment in patients on active surveillance
 - 2. Ablation – RFA and cryotherapy; percutaneous and laparoscopic
 - a. Outcome data
 - b. Mechanisms and biology of tissue interaction
 - c. Recommended follow-up after ablation + definition of tumor recurrence after ablation
 - 3. Open and minimally invasive nephron sparing surgery
 - a. Importance of and outcomes for NSS – renal function outcomes, oncologic efficacy, potential overall health benefit
 - b. Implications of, use of, and ways to minimize impact of ischemia in NSS
 - c. Complication rates and management
 - d. Technique, approaches – open, laparoscopic, robotic-assisted
 - e. Factors for selection of appropriate candidates, such as tumor complexity (including various published classification systems for quantifying tumor complexity) and preoperative renal function
 - f. Rationale for elective NSS including data pertaining to overall survival, renal preservation, quality of life – randomized trial data + observational (retrospective) analyses
- d. Larger renal masses
 - i. Indications for surgery
 - ii. Surgical approaches, including minimally invasive and open
 - iii. Lymphadenectomy- templates, landing zones, management of complications specific to LND (i.e. chylous ascites)
 - iv. Management of the adrenal gland during radical nephrectomy
 - v. Surgery for tumor thrombus- preoperative evaluation of patient, surgical technique for various levels of tumor thrombus, and outcomes

- vi. Management of complications
- e. Adjuvant/neoadjuvant therapy for renal cancer
 - i. Currently available agents and most common side effects of these agents
 - ii. Knowledge of clinical trials which have evaluated adjuvant therapy in RCC
 - iii. Understanding of published data to date and ongoing clinical trials evaluating the impact of neoadjuvant therapy on primary renal tumors as well as on perioperative outcomes/complications
- f. Management of bilateral renal masses
 - i. Synchronous and metachronous
 - ii. Consideration of familial tumor syndromes and impact upon management (i.e. indications for medical genetics referral)
- g. Management of renal masses in special patients
 - i. Chronic kidney disease (CKD)
 - ii. End-stage renal disease (ESRD) / Dialysis
 - iii. Transplant
 - iv. Genetic syndromes

V: Management of Metastatic RCC

Unit objectives:

Understand the history of management of metastatic RCC. Have a working knowledge of the various patient risk classification systems for metastatic RCC. Define the role of surgery in the setting of metastatic RCC. Understand mechanism of action, uses, adverse effects, and outcomes of various systemic therapy options for metastatic RCC.

Contents

1. Historical data pertaining to outcomes after surgical management of metastatic RCC
2. Patient risk classifications associated with prognosis/survival for patients with metastatic RCC (i.e. MSKCC/Motzer criteria, Heng criteria)
3. Cytoreductive nephrectomy in metastatic RCC
 - a. Indications, patient selection criteria
 - b. Extent and approach to surgery, LND, adrenal, contiguous organ involvement, tumor thrombus, etc.
 - c. Outcomes and data (including randomized trial outcome data) pertaining to cytoreductive nephrectomy in the immunotherapy era
 - d. Cytoreductive nephrectomy and targeted therapy - timing of surgery and peri-procedural management of medications (including knowledge of ongoing clinical trials investigating the optimal timing of surgery vs systemic therapy with targeted agents)
4. Systemic therapy

- a. Mechanisms of resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy
 - i. Data on response to cytotoxic therapy
 - ii. MDR gene, glutathione reductase, etc
 - iii. Recent trial data
- b. Immunotherapy
 - i. Historical data with interferon, interleukins, tumor vaccines
 - ii. Current data regarding high-dose interleukin-2
 - iii. Indications for current use, selection of appropriate candidates
 - iv. Complications, oncologic efficacy
 - v. Rationale for new modalities, such as dendritic-cell based and other personalized immunotherapies
- c. Targeted therapies - including anti-VEGF agents, TKIs, mTOR inhibitors, other agents
 - i. Indications (i.e. tumor histology, patient risk classification) for various targeted therapies
 - ii. Complications/adverse events associated with specific different targeted agents (it is critical that fellows develop an understanding of the side effect profile associated with the targeted agents for RCC)
 - iii. Oncologic efficacy of targeted therapies
 - iv. Current and recent trial data
 - v. Indicators of response
 - vi. Mechanism of action/molecular pathways affected by approved agents
 - vii. Surgery pre and post- targeted therapy – safety/efficacy
5. Radiotherapy for bone and brain metastasis
6. Metastasectomy
 - a. Indications and selection of appropriate candidates
 - b. Relevant data regarding oncologic outcomes
 - c. Understanding of integration within multimodal treatment approach

Testicular Cancer

I. Anatomy, pathology, and epidemiology of testicular cancer

Learner Objectives

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand:

- 1) Normal anatomy of the testis and surrounding structures
- 2) Normal anatomy of the retroperitoneum and patterns of lymph node metastasis in testicular cancer
- 3) Normal histology of the testis and epididymis
- 4) Classification and histology of germ cell and non-germ cell tumors of the testis
- 5) Incidence, demographics, and risk factors for testicular cancer

Contents

- 1) Normal gross anatomy of the testis, epididymis, and spermatic cord
- 2) Normal gross anatomy of the retroperitoneum, including location and anatomic relationships of the sympathetic chain, postganglionic fibers, and hypogastric plexus
 - a. Physiology of normal antegrade ejaculation
- 3) Lymphatic drainage of the testis
- 4) Normal and malignant histology of the testis
 - a. Normal testis
 - b. Orchitis
 - c. Seminoma
 - d. Spermatocytic seminoma
 - e. Embryonal cell
 - f. Choriocarcinoma
 - g. Yolk sac
 - h. Teratoma

- i. Intratubular germ cell neoplasia
 - j. Leydig cell tumors
 - k. Sertoli cell tumors
 - l. Rhabdomyosarcoma
- 5) Testicular cancer staging
 - a. TNM staging for primary testis tumor
 - b. Clinical staging
 - c. IGCCCG risk groups
- 6) Extragonadal primary germ cell tumor sites¹
- 7) Annual incidence of testicular cancer and distribution across tumor types,² as well as temporal trends in incidence
- 8) Age of onset and risk factors associated with the development of testicular cancer, including cryptorchidism
- 9) Genetic epidemiology³

II. Diagnosis and staging of testicular cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand:

- 1) The indications and workup for evaluation of a testicular mass
- 2) Appropriate interpretation of scrotal ultrasound and tumor marker results
- 3) Staging evaluation, classification, and initial management of a testis tumor
- 4) Risk group stratification and prognosis in a patient with a newly diagnosed testis tumor

Contents:

- 1) Clinical presentation and testicular ultrasound interpretation
- 2) The role of serum tumor markers in diagnosis, prognosis, and management

- a. Tumor marker half-lives and causes of false elevation
 - b. Identifying NSGCT by presence of AFP elevation
- 3) Role of sperm banking⁴
 - 4) Surgical technique for radical orchiectomy
 - 5) Indications for biopsy of contralateral testicle⁵⁻⁸
 - a. Suspicious ultrasound
 - b. Cryptorchid testis
 - c. Atrophy
 - 6) Role of testis-sparing surgery^{9,10}
 - 7) Post-diagnostic imaging, including indications for brain imaging
 - 8) Define staging classification system, post-orchiectomy risk stratification, and prognosis by tumor type and risk category¹¹
 - a. i.e. no poor-risk seminoma

III. Surgical considerations in the management of testicular cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand:

- 1) Indications for retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (RPLND)
- 2) Principles of RPLND
- 3) Postoperative management of patients following RPLND
- 4) Management of intraoperative complications
- 5) Recognition and management of post-operative complications

Contents:

- 1) Boundaries of RPLND, full bilateral template, and modified RPLND templates
 - a. Nerve-sparing technique
 - b. Rates of preservation of ejaculation
 - c. Approach for stage I NSGCT vs. post-chemotherapy setting
- 2) RPLND technique, including “split and roll” and ligation of lumbar vessels
 - a. Potential indications for resecting adjacent organs
 - b. Management of vascular, bowel, or other organ injury
- 3) Prevention and management of complications^{12,13}
 - a. Chylous ascites
 - b. Pulmonary complications, impact of bleomycin
 - c. Wound infection
 - d. Small bowel obstruction
 - e. Loss of antegrade ejaculation

III. Management of pure seminoma

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand:

- 1) Primary treatment options according to stage
- 2) The relative risks and benefits to the disparate management strategies for stage I seminoma-cancer outcomes versus treatment-related toxicities
- 3) Risk of recurrence according to stage and treatment, strategies for post-treatment surveillance
- 4) Indications for chemotherapy in stage II/III seminoma and chemotherapeutic regimens
- 5) Management of the patient with a residual retroperitoneal mass following chemotherapy

Contents:

- 1) Surveillance versus single-agent carboplatin versus radiotherapy for stage I seminoma¹⁴⁻¹⁶
 - a. Advantages and disadvantages of each, risk of recurrence, overtreatment, and short- and long-term complications of therapy
 - b. Surveillance schedule^{17,18}
 - c. Principles of radiotherapy, dosing, field, timing
 - i. Impact of prior scrotal surgery on RT delivery

- d. Risk of recurrence over time
 - e. Long-term risks related to radiation and chemotherapy
- 2) Management of Stage IS seminoma
 - a. Surveillance protocol following radiation
 - b. Rates of relapse in comparison to those in patients with stage IA/B disease treated with radiation
 - 3) Management of stage II and stage III seminoma
 - a. Radiotherapy in stage IIA and IIB, treatment field in comparison with stage I, surveillance strategies
 - i. Dosing, fields, timing of radiotherapy
 - b. Selection of chemotherapy regimen in IIC and III^{19,20}
 - i. Good vs. intermediate risk
 - c. Post-chemotherapy management: role of tumor size, PET imaging^{21,22}
 - i. Timing of PET scan
 - ii. Indications for RPLND, radiotherapy
 - iii. Second-line chemotherapy (TIP, VIP)²³⁻²⁵

IV. Management of nonseminoma

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand:

- 1) Primary treatment options for NSGCT, according to stage
- 2) The relative risks and benefits to the disparate management strategies for stage I NSGCT
- 3) Risk of recurrence according to stage and treatment, strategies for post-treatment surveillance in patients with NSGCT
- 4) Role and risks of post-chemotherapy RPLND
- 5) Chemotherapeutic regimens utilized in the management of NSGCT according to stage as well as salvage strategies in patients with advanced metastatic disease

Contents:

- 1) Surveillance versus primary chemotherapy versus RPLND for stage I NSGCT
 - a. Surveillance: schedule and risk of recurrence^{26,27}

- i. Role of surveillance in T2 disease
 - b. Management of relapse after surveillance
 - c. Role and timing of RPLND, rates and location of relapse²⁸
 - i. Surveillance imaging following RPLND in comparison with primary surveillance or chemotherapy
 - d. Primary chemotherapy in stage IB, regimens, and risks²⁹⁻³¹
 - i. Long term complications of chemotherapy^{32,33}
 - ii. Rates of recurrence
 - iii. Randomized trial of RPLND vs. BEP x 1³⁴
 - e. Overall cure rate
- 2) Surveillance schedule following surgery for pN0 disease
- 3) Management of pN+ disease following RPLND
 - a. Distinction between pN1, pN2, and pN3 disease
 - i. Rates of cure with RPLND alone for pN1 disease³⁵
 - b. Chemotherapy regimens and number of cycles
- 4) Management of stage IS NSGCT
 - a. Chemotherapy regimens and number of cycles
 - b. Role of postchemotherapy RPLND
- 5) Management of stage IIA and IIB NSGCT
 - a. Positive vs. negative markers³⁶
 - b. RPLND vs. chemotherapy in setting of negative markers³⁷
 - c. Management after primary chemotherapy³⁸
 - d. Role of chemotherapy following primary RPLND³⁹
- 6) Post-chemotherapy RPLND
 - a. Probability of necrosis, teratoma, or viable tumor
 - b. Management according to pathology and chemotherapy regimens
 - c. Management of extra-retroperitoneal sites of disease
 - i. i.e. knowledge of rates of concordance/discordance in histology between retroperitoneum and extra-retroperitoneal disease
- 7) Management of advanced metastatic NSGCT
 - a. Risk stratification groupings
 - b. Good risk: primary chemotherapy regimens
 - c. Intermediate risk (stage IIIB): chemotherapy regimens
 - i. Post-chemotherapy management
 - d. Poor risk (stage IIIC): chemotherapy regimens
 - i. Post-chemotherapy management
- 8) Salvage chemotherapy, stem cell rescue for metastatic tumors⁴⁰⁻⁴²
 - a. Pathologic distribution (necrosis, teratoma, viable tumor) in retroperitoneum at RPLND after salvage chemotherapy
 - b. Role of “desperation” RPLND

References:

1. Bokemeyer C: Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumors of the Mediastinum and Retroperitoneum: Results From an International Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2002; **20**: 1864–1873.
2. Motzer RJ, Agarwal N, Beard C, et al: Testicular cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2012; **10**: 502–535.
3. Ruark E, Seal S, McDonald H, et al: Identification of nine new susceptibility loci for testicular cancer, including variants near DAZL and PRDM14. *Nat. Genet.* 2013; **45**: 686–689.
4. Brydøy M, Fosså SD, Klepp O, et al: Paternity following treatment for testicular cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005; **97**: 1580–1588.
5. Rud CN, Daugaard G, Rajpert-De Meyts E, et al: Sperm concentration, testicular volume and age predict risk of carcinoma in situ in contralateral testis of men with testicular germ cell cancer. *J. Urol.* 2013; **190**: 2074–2080.
6. van Casteren NJ, Looijenga LHJ and Dohle GR: Testicular microlithiasis and carcinoma in situ overview and proposed clinical guideline. *International Journal of Andrology* 2009; **32**: 279–287.
7. Tandstad T, Dahl O, Cohn-Cedermark G, et al: Risk-Adapted Treatment in Clinical Stage I Nonseminomatous Germ Cell Testicular Cancer: The SWENOTECA Management Program. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2009; **27**: 2122–2128.
8. Fosså SD, Chen J, Schonfeld SJ, et al: Risk of contralateral testicular cancer: a population-based study of 29,515 U.S. men. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005; **97**: 1056–1066.
9. Lawrentschuk N, Zuniga A, Grabowksi AC, et al: Partial orchiectomy for presumed malignancy in patients with a solitary testis due to a prior germ cell tumor: a large North American experience. *J. Urol.* 2011; **185**: 508–513.
10. Zuniga A, Lawrentschuk N and Jewett MAS: Organ-sparing approaches for testicular masses. *Nat Rev Urol* 2010; **7**: 454–464.
11. International Germ Cell Consensus Classification: a prognostic factor-based staging system for metastatic germ cell cancers. International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 1997; **15**: 594–603.
12. Baniel J and Sella A: Complications of retroperitoneal lymph node dissection in testicular cancer: primary and post-chemotherapy. *Semin Surg Oncol* 1999; **17**: 263–267.

13. Evans JG, Spiess PE, Kamat AM, et al: Chylous ascites after post-chemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection: review of the M. D. Anderson experience. *J. Urol.* 2006; **176**: 1463–1467.
14. Mead GM, Fosså SD, Oliver RTD, et al: Randomized trials in 2466 patients with stage I seminoma: patterns of relapse and follow-up. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011; **103**: 241–249.
15. Oliver RTD, Oliver RTD, Mead GM, et al: Randomized Trial of Carboplatin Versus Radiotherapy for Stage I Seminoma: Mature Results on Relapse and Contralateral Testis Cancer Rates in MRC TE19/EORTC 30982 Study (ISRCTN27163214). *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2011; **29**: 957–962.
16. Aparicio J, Germà JR, García del Muro X, et al: Risk-adapted management for patients with clinical stage I seminoma: the Second Spanish Germ Cell Cancer Cooperative Group study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2005; **23**: 8717–8723.
17. Groll RJ, Warde P and Jewett MAS: A comprehensive systematic review of testicular germ cell tumor surveillance. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2007; **64**: 182–197.
18. Martin JM, Panzarella T, Zwahlen DR, et al: Evidence-based guidelines for following stage I seminoma. *Cancer* 2007; **109**: 2248–2256.
19. de Wit R, Roberts JT, Wilkinson PM, et al: Equivalence of three or four cycles of bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin chemotherapy and of a 3- or 5-day schedule in good-prognosis germ cell cancer: a randomized study of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Genitourinary Tract Cancer Cooperative Group and the Medical Research Council. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2001; **19**: 1629–1640.
20. Kondagunta GV, Bacik J, Bajorin D, et al: Etoposide and cisplatin chemotherapy for metastatic good-risk germ cell tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2005; **23**: 9290–9294.
21. Becherer A, Santis MD, Karanikas G, et al: FDG PET is superior to CT in the prediction of viable tumour in post-chemotherapy seminoma residuals. *European Journal of Radiology* 2005; **54**: 284–288.
22. Hinz S, Schrader M, Kempkensteffen C, et al: The role of positron emission tomography in the evaluation of residual masses after chemotherapy for advanced stage seminoma. *J. Urol.* 2008; **179**: 936–40– discussion 940.
23. Kondagunta GV, Bacik J, Sheinfeld J, et al: Paclitaxel plus Ifosfamide followed by high-dose carboplatin plus etoposide in previously treated germ cell tumors. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2007; **25**: 85–90.
24. Miller KD, Loehrer PJ, Gonin R, et al: Salvage chemotherapy with vinblastine, ifosfamide, and cisplatin in recurrent seminoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 1997; **15**: 1427–1431.
25. Kondagunta GV, Bacik J, Donadio A, et al: Combination of paclitaxel, ifosfamide, and cisplatin is

- an effective second-line therapy for patients with relapsed testicular germ cell tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2005; **23**: 6549–6555.
26. Zuniga A, Kakiashvili D and Jewett MAS: Surveillance in stage I nonseminomatous germ cell tumours of the testis. *BJU Int* 2009; **104**: 1351–1356.
 27. Read G, Stenning SP, Cullen MH, et al: Medical Research Council prospective study of surveillance for stage I testicular teratoma. Medical Research Council Testicular Tumors Working Party. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 1992; **10**: 1762–1768.
 28. Stephenson AJ, Bosl GJ, Motzer RJ, et al: Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection for nonseminomatous germ cell testicular cancer: impact of patient selection factors on outcome. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2005; **23**: 2781–2788.
 29. Cullen MH, Stenning SP, Parkinson MC, et al: Short-course adjuvant chemotherapy in high-risk stage I nonseminomatous germ cell tumors of the testis: a Medical Research Council report. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 1996; **14**: 1106–1113.
 30. Böhlen D, Borner M, Sonntag RW, et al: Long-term results following adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with clinical stage I testicular nonseminomatous malignant germ cell tumors with high risk factors. *J. Urol.* 1999; **161**: 1148–1152.
 31. Chevreau C, Mazerolles C, Soulié M, et al: Long-term efficacy of two cycles of BEP regimen in high-risk stage I nonseminomatous testicular germ cell tumors with embryonal carcinoma and/or vascular invasion. *Eur Urol* 2004; **46**: 209–14– discussion 214–5.
 32. Meinardi MT, Gietema JA, van der Graaf WT, et al: Cardiovascular morbidity in long-term survivors of metastatic testicular cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2000; **18**: 1725–1732.
 33. Huddart RA, Norman A, Shahidi M, et al: Cardiovascular disease as a long-term complication of treatment for testicular cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2003; **21**: 1513–1523.
 34. Albers P, Siener R, Krege S, et al: Randomized phase III trial comparing retroperitoneal lymph node dissection with one course of bleomycin and etoposide plus cisplatin chemotherapy in the adjuvant treatment of clinical stage I Nonseminomatous testicular germ cell tumors: AUO trial AH 01/94 by the German Testicular Cancer Study Group. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2008; **26**: 2966–2972.
 35. Williams SD, Stablein DM, Einhorn LH, et al: Immediate adjuvant chemotherapy versus observation with treatment at relapse in pathological stage II testicular cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1987; **317**: 1433–1438.
 36. Rabbani F, Sheinfeld J, Farivar-Mohseni H, et al: Low-volume nodal metastases detected at retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy for testicular cancer: pattern and prognostic factors for relapse. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2001; **19**: 2020–2025.
 37. Stephenson AJ, Bosl GJ, Motzer RJ, et al: Nonrandomized comparison of primary chemotherapy

and retroperitoneal lymph node dissection for clinical stage IIA and IIB nonseminomatous germ cell testicular cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2007; **25**: 5597–5602.

38. Carver BS, Shayegan B, Eggener S, et al: Incidence of Metastatic Nonseminomatous Germ Cell Tumor Outside the Boundaries of a Modified Postchemotherapy Retroperitoneal Lymph Node Dissection. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2007; **25**: 4365–4369.
39. Motzer RJ, Sheinfeld J, Mazumdar M, et al: Etoposide and cisplatin adjuvant therapy for patients with pathologic stage II germ cell tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 1995; **13**: 2700–2704.
40. Einhorn LH, Williams SD, Chamness A, et al: High-dose chemotherapy and stem-cell rescue for metastatic germ-cell tumors. *N Engl J Med* 2007; **357**: 340–348.
41. International Prognostic Factors Study Group, Lorch A, Beyer J, et al: Prognostic factors in patients with metastatic germ cell tumors who experienced treatment failure with cisplatin-based first-line chemotherapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2010; **28**: 4906–4911.
42. Feldman DR, Sheinfeld J, Bajorin DF, et al: TI-CE high-dose chemotherapy for patients with previously treated germ cell tumors: results and prognostic factor analysis. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2010; **28**: 1706–1713.

Penile Cancer

1. Epidemiology

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

5. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the incidence and prevalence of penile cancer
6. Demonstrate an understanding of the risk factors associated with the development of the disease
7. Demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of the natural history of penile cancer.

Contents

1. Incidence of penile cancer, including geographic and racial variations, and age distribution in incidence
2. Risk factors associated with the development of penile cancer (phimosis, infant circumcision, poor hygiene, chronic infections, HPV (association with specific subtypes 16, 18), and tobacco products)
3. Predisposing conditions, including condyloma acuminata, balanitis xerotica obliterans, and leukoplakia
4. Natural history, recurrence, and metastatic patterns of penile cancer
5. Implication(s) of population-level HPV vaccination on the epidemiology of penile cancer

2. Anatomy and Pathology

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Recognize and demonstrate an understanding of the normal anatomy and physiology of the penis, as well as the inguinal and pelvic lymph nodes. Display this knowledge during clinical evaluation and surgery.
2. Understand the specific surgical relationship(s) of the anatomy of the penis with the surrounding organs.

3. Understand and identify the pathologic difference between normal epithelium and penile carcinoma, with particular attention to histologic features suggestive of tumor grade and tumor stage.
4. Formulate a differential diagnosis for the patient with a penile lesion

Contents

1. Anatomy of the penis, including layers and corpora.
2. Anatomy of the inguinal region, including lymphatics (with special attention to nodal regions and drainage patterns of the penis), blood vessels, nerves and muscles
3. Anatomy of the pelvic region, including the pelvic organs and lymph nodes
4. Pathology of penile lesions
 - a. Benign Lesions
 - b. Premalignant Cutaneous Lesions
 - c. Viral-Related Dermatologic Lesions
 - d. Buschke-Lowenstein Tumor (Verrucous Carcinoma, Giant Condyloma Acuminatum)
 - e. Squamous Cell Carcinoma
 - f. Nonsquamous Malignancy (Basal Cell Carcinoma, Melanoma, Sarcoma, Paget's Disease)
5. Pathology of nodal involvement

3. Clinical Diagnosis and Staging

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

9. Recognize the signs and symptoms associated with penile cancer, and differentiate them from the presentation of benign penile conditions.
10. Be able to describe the indications and appropriate tests for further evaluation of a penile lesion.

11. Be able to interpret relative diagnostic test results, including imaging and laboratory studies, in the diagnostic evaluation of a suspected or known penile cancer.
12. Be knowledgeable about the current staging for penile cancer, including of the primary tumor and locoregional lymph nodes
13. Be fluent in contemporary risk-stratification paradigms to facilitate efficient and effective therapy for penile cancer, including understanding the risk of lymph node involvement and the consequent indications for inguinal lymphadenectomy.

Contents

1. Variable presentation of the primary tumor
 - a. May present as erythema, induration, or verrucoid growth
 - b. May present as an ulcerated and destructive lesion
2. Role of penile biopsy in diagnosis
3. Role of penile MRI for clinical staging purposes
4. Role of excisional biopsy/partial penectomy in diagnosis
 - a. Understand technique of partial penectomy/penile preservation
 - b. Understand morbidity of partial penectomy/penile preservation
5. Variable presentation of the inguinal nodes
 - a. Differentiate between infection and tumor involvement
 - b. Variable risk of lymph node metastases by primary tumor type (sarcomatoid carcinoma > SCC > Condylomatous tumor), as well as by primary tumor stage, grade, and presence of lymphovascular invasion
 - c. Role of antibiotics in nodal evaluation
6. Understand role and limitations of radiographic and laboratory staging
 - a. Evaluation of inguinal and pelvic lymph nodes – CT, MRI
 - i. Potential use of PET-CT
 - ii. Potential use of lymphotropic nanoparticle-enhanced MRI
 - b. Role for chest imaging

- c. Role for laboratory evaluation – i.e. serum calcium
- 7. Understand the indication(s) for nodal staging
 - a. Physical examination of inguinal nodes
 - b. Importance of timing of presentation with lymph node enlargement – at diagnosis versus delayed
 - c. Role of antibiotic therapy
 - d. Role of fine needle aspiration
 - e. Role of sentinel node biopsy
 - f. Inguinal lymph node dissection
 - i. Nodal drainage (unilateral vs. bilateral)
 - ii. Depth of dissection (superficial vs. deep)
 - g. Pelvic lymph node dissection (indications)
- 8. Be familiar with most recent AJCC TNM staging classification

4. Treatment of the primary tumor in penile cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

- 15. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management, and complications associated with topical therapies for penile cancer.
- 16. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management, and complications associated with partial penectomy for penile cancer.
- 17. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management, and complications associated with total penectomy for penile cancer.
- 18. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of indications, management, and complications associated with radiotherapy to the primary lesion for penile cancer.

Contents

- 1. Role of topical therapies for penile cancer
 - a. Indication(s)
 - b. Specific agents
 - c. Efficacy

- d. Safety
- 2. Laser therapy for penile tumors
 - a. Indication(s)
 - b. Differential depth of penetration/tissue destruction of various lasers [Nd:YAG, KTP, and CO₂]
 - c. Morbidity
 - d. Outcomes
- 3. Mohs micrographic surgery
 - a. Indication(s)
 - b. Technique
 - c. Morbidity
 - d. Efficacy/recurrence rates
- 4. Conservative (penile-sparing) surgical excision of a penile tumor
 - a. Indication(s)
 - b. Technique
 - c. Morbidity
 - d. Efficacy
- 5. Partial penectomy
 - a. Indication(s)
 - b. Technique
 - c. Morbidity
 - d. Efficacy
- 6. Total penectomy
 - a. Indication(s)
 - b. Technique, including perineal urethrostomy
 - c. Morbidity
 - d. Efficacy
- 7. Radiation therapy
 - a. Indication(s) for management of a primary penile tumor
 - b. Technique [external beam vs. brachytherapy]
 - c. Morbidity

d. Efficacy

5. Management of inguinal/pelvic lymph nodes in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the penis

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the indications, technique, and complications associated with inguinal lymphadenectomy.
 - a. Understand the extent and limits of node dissection.
 - b. Understand the optimal methods (pre-, intra-, and postoperatively) of minimizing complication risk with lymphadenectomy.
2. Understand indications for pelvic lymph node dissection in penile cancer

Contents

1. Definitions of “low risk” versus “high risk” penile cancers with regards to lymph node involvement
 - a. Grade of primary tumor
 - b. Stage of primary tumor
 - c. Presence of lymphovascular invasion in primary tumor
 - d. Physical examination of inguinal regions
2. Demonstrate knowledge of the indication(s) for antibiotic therapy and indication(s) for nodal biopsy/FNA to evaluate lymph node enlargement
3. Understand the role of Dynamic Sentinel Node Biopsy
 - a. Rationale
 - b. Technique
 - c. Results
4. Understand the role of inguinal lymphadenectomy in patients without clinical evidence of inguinal lymphadenopathy
 - a. Indications for superficial and deep dissection
 - b. Technique and templates
 - c. Techniques to reduce morbidity

5. Demonstrate knowledge of the therapeutic efficacy of lymphadenectomy in patients with clinical lymphadenopathy, and the morbidity associated with the procedure (both modified and radical ilioinguinal lymphadenectomy), including:
 - a. Role of unilateral inguinal lymphadenectomy in patients who present with late unilateral palpable lymphadenopathy
 - b. Role of pelvic lymphadenectomy in patients with high-volume locoregional lymphadenopathy (i.e. fixed inguinal nodal mass, presence of pelvic lymphadenopathy)
 - c. Role of reconstruction after inguinal node dissection
 - i. Indications
 - ii. Technique, morbidity
 - iii. Use of tissue flaps including sartorius muscle and rectus abdominus, skin grafts
6. Demonstrate knowledge of protocols for inguinal surveillance after lymph node dissection
7. Understand the role of radiation therapy in the management of inguinal lymph node involvement of penile cancer
 - a. Indications for radiation therapy as primary management of inguinal lymphadenopathy
 - b. Role for radiation in combination with surgical lymphadenectomy – neoadjuvant/adjuvant
 - c. Dose/portals
 - d. Morbidity and efficacy of therapy

6. Management of advanced locoregional and/or metastatic penile cancer

Learner Objectives:

Upon completion of the unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

7. Understand the indications, contra-indications, and scheduling of systemic chemotherapy for penile cancer, including the most frequently utilized chemotherapeutic agents, with the expected side effect profiles.
8. Recognize the potential utility of a multimodal treatment approach to patients with penile cancer and locoregional lymph node involvement, including surgical resection +

systemic chemotherapy with or without concurrent radiotherapy in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant settings

9. Understand the role for palliative therapy in advanced penile cancer

Contents

1. Standard chemotherapy regimens for penile cancer (squamous cell carcinoma)
 - a. Agents/rationale for combination
 - b. Expected toxicity and management
 - c. Efficacy
2. Use of chemotherapy +/- radiotherapy in patients with locoregional lymph node involvement treated with surgical resection
 - a. Indications, sequence, and timing (neoadjuvant, adjuvant) of multi-modality management
 - b. Outcomes
 - i) Toxicity
 - Acute
 - Perioperative
 - Long-term
 - ii) Oncologic efficacy
3. Use of Chemotherapy for Systemic Disease
4. Palliative options for patients with locally-advanced and metastatic penile cancer
 - a. Surgery – rationale (prevent devastating local complications)
 - b. Radiation
 - c. Chemotherapy

References

1. Sharp DS, Angermeier KW: Surgery of penile and urethral carcinoma, in Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA (eds): *CAMPBELL'S UROLOGY*, ed 10. Philadelphia, Saunders Elsevier, 2012, vol 1, chap 35, p 934-955.
2. Pettaway CA, Lynch DF Jr, Davis JW: Tumors of the penis, in Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA (eds): *CAMPBELL'S UROLOGY*, ed 10. Philadelphia, Saunders Elsevier, 2012, vol 1, chap 34, p 901-953.

3. Tabatabaei S, McDougal WS: Invasive Carcinoma of the Penis: Management and Prognosis, in Richie JP and D'Amico AV (eds); UROLOGIC ONCOLOGY, Philadelphia, Saunders Elsevier, 2005, chap 44, p 710-722.
4. Link RE: Cutaneous Diseases of the External Genitalia, in Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA (eds): CAMPBELL'S UROLOGY, ed 10. Philadelphia, Saunders Elsevier, 2012, vol 1, chap 15, p 405-435.
5. Jordan GH and McCammon KA: Surgery of the Penis and Urethra, in Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA (eds): CAMPBELL'S UROLOGY, ed 10. Philadelphia, Saunders Elsevier, 2012, vol 1, chap 36, p 956-1000.
6. Lynch DF: Penectomy and Ilioinguinal Lymphadenectomy, in Richie JP and D'Amico AV (eds); UROLOGIC ONCOLOGY, Philadelphia, Saunders Elsevier, 2005, chap 45, p 723-735.
7. Russo P and Horenblas S: Surgical Management of Penile Cancer, in Scardino PT, Linehan WM, Zelefsky WM, Vogelzang NJ (eds): Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, ed. 4. Philadelphia, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2011, chap 47, p811-822.
8. Crook JM: Radiation Therapy for Penile Cancer, in Scardino PT, Linehan WM, Zelefsky WM, Vogelzang NJ (eds): Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, ed. 4. Philadelphia, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2011, chap 48, p823-830.
9. Paligaro LC: Chemotherapy for Penile Cancer, in Scardino PT, Linehan WM, Zelefsky WM, Vogelzang NJ (eds): Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, ed. 4. Philadelphia, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2011, chap 49, p831-834.

10. Barocas DA and Chang SS. Penile Cancer: Clinical Presentation, Diagnosis, and Staging. *Urol Clin N America*, 2010;37(3): 343-52.

Prostate Cancer Core Curriculum

1. Epidemiology and Natural History

Learner Objectives

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow will be able to:

1. Understand impact of age, race, and geographic location on prostate cancer incidence and natural history
2. Understand the influence of androgens and specifically the interaction of testosterone, dihydrotestosterone, and the androgen receptor on the development of prostate cancer
3. Be familiar with dietary and other exogenous factors influencing prostate cancer incidence.
4. Understand the data from chemoprevention trials with 5-alpha reductase inhibitors and anti-oxidant supplements.
5. Know the natural history of treated and untreated prostate cancer

Contents

1. Incidence and prevalence of disease^{1, 2}
2. Risk factors for development of prostate cancer
 - a. Age³
 - b. Race and ethnicity³
 - c. Geography
 - d. Family History⁴
 - e. Molecular Genetics⁵
 - f. Dietary factors, obesity, medications and inflammation
 - g. Role of testosterone, DHT, 5-alpha reductase, androgen receptor
3. Chemo/dietary prevention of prostate cancer
 - a. Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial (Finasteride)⁶ – findings of trial and subsequent controversy
 - b. REDUCE Trial (Dutasteride)⁷
 - c. Antioxidants and Dietary Interventions (Selenium, Vitamin E, Vitamin C)^{8, 9}
 - d. Complementary and alternative medicine
4. Natural history of prostate cancer^{10, 11} – treated and untreated
 - a. Prognosis based on risk factors and phase of disease
 - b. Factors influencing mortality from prostate cancer, including competing causes of death

Bibliography

Campbell-Walsh Urology, 10th Edition – Ed., Wein

Chapter 95 - Epidemiology, Etiology, and Prevention of Prostate Cancer

Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, 4th Edition – Ed., Scardino

Chapter 1: Epidemiology of Prostate Cancer

Chapter 2: Genetic Basis of Prostate Cancer

Chapter 5: Prevention of Prostate Cancer

AUA Updates

Familial and Genetic Aspects of Prostate Cancer. Klein EA, Vol. XXII, Lesson 20, 2003

Chemoprevention of Prostate Cancer. Part I: Chemoprevention Overview, Preventive Strategies and Agents, and Ongoing Phase 3 Clinical Trials. Thompson IM, Brand TC, Goodman P and Tangen C, Vol. 26, Lesson 20, 2007

Chemoprevention of Prostate Cancer With Finasteride. Thompson IM, Vol. 28, Lesson 28, 2009

Journal Articles and Other Sources

1. Siegel, R., Naishadham, D., Jemal, A.: Cancer statistics, 2013. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, **63**: 11, 2013
2. Abouassaly, R., Jr., I. T., Platz, E. et al.: Epidemiology, etiology, and prevention of prostate cancer. In: Campbell-Walsh Urology: 10th Edition, 10 ed. Edited by A. Wein, L. Kavoussi, A. Partin et al. Philadelphia: Elsevier, vol. 3, 2012
3. National Cancer Institute. SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1975-2009, pp. 1-2, 2012
4. Johns, L. E., Houlston, R. S.: A systematic review and meta-analysis of familial prostate cancer risk. BJU Int, **91**: 789, 2003
5. Zheng, S. L., Sun, J., Wiklund, F. et al.: Cumulative association of five genetic variants with prostate cancer. The New England journal of medicine, **358**: 910, 2008
6. Thompson, I. M., Goodman, P. J., Tangen, C. M. et al.: The influence of finasteride on the development of prostate cancer. The New England journal of medicine, **349**: 215, 2003
7. Andriole, G. L., Bostwick, D. G., Brawley, O. W. et al.: Effect of dutasteride on the risk of prostate cancer. N Engl J Med, **362**: 1192, 2010
8. Lippman, S. M., Klein, E. A., Goodman, P. J. et al.: Effect of Selenium and Vitamin E on Risk of Prostate Cancer and Other Cancers: The Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT). JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association, **301**: 39, 2009
9. Gaziano, J. M., Glynn, R. J., Christen, W. G. et al.: Vitamins E and C in the Prevention of Prostate and Total Cancer in Men: The Physicians' Health Study II Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association, **301**: 52, 2009
10. Albertsen, P. C., Hanley, J. A., Fine, J.: 20-year outcomes following conservative management of clinically localized prostate cancer. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association, **293**: 2095, 2005
11. Pound, C. R., Partin, A. W., Eisenberger, M. A. et al.: Natural history of progression after PSA elevation following radical prostatectomy. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association, **281**: 1591, 1999

2. Pathogenesis, Molecular Biology, Pathology of Prostate Cancer

Learner Objectives

At the end of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Know the prevailing theories for prostate carcinogenesis
2. Be capable of recognizing the basic histologic patterns of HGPIN, ASAP, and prostate cancer of varying Gleason scores
3. Understand the clinical implications of HGPIN and ASAP, and be able to provide recommendations for subsequent patient management in these cases
4. Understand the Gleason scoring system

Contents

1. Role of inflammation and oxidative stress^{1,2}
2. Role of androgen receptor signaling
3. Anatomy and Pathology^{3,4}
 - a. Normal anatomy, sites of disease, and implications for diagnosis
 - b. Histology
 - c. High-grade PIN
 - i. Microscopic characteristics
 - ii. Risk association with future biopsies/cancer
 - iii. Management
 - d. ASAP
 - i. Microscopic characteristics
 - ii. Risk association with future biopsies/cancer
 - iii. Management
 - e. Cancer
 - i. Gleason scoring system
 1. Microscopic characteristics
 2. Grading of primary, secondary and tertiary patterns
 3. How grade affects prognosis⁵
 4. Changes in grading system over time⁶
 - ii. Absence of basal cell layer in cancer
 - iii. Histologic variants
 - iv. Clinical impact of biopsy findings, such as peri-neural invasion, number and percent of cores involved, Gleason score⁷
 - v. Pathologic evaluation of radical prostatectomy specimens, and clinical significance of findings such as positive surgical margins, extraprostatic extension, seminal vesicle invasion, and lymph node involvement

Bibliography

Campbell-Walsh Urology, 10th Edition – Ed., Wein

Chapter 2: Anatomy of the lower urinary tract and male genitalia

Chapter 90: Development, Molecular Biology, and Physiology of the Prostate

Chapter 95 - Epidemiology, Etiology, and Prevention of Prostate Cancer

Chapter 96: Pathology of Prostatic Neoplasia

Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, 4th Edition – Ed., Scardino

Chapter 4: Anatomy and Pathology of Prostate Cancer

4A: Anatomy of the Prostate and the Pathology of Prostate Cancer Peter A. Humphrey

4B: Prostate Cancer: Molecular Pathology and Biologic Determinants Massimo F. Loda

4C: Ets Genes and Prostate Cancer: Implications for Prognosis and Disease Control Jeremy P. Clark and Colin S. Cooper

AUA Updates

High Grade Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia and Other Atypical Lesions of the Prostate. Zynger DL and Yang XJ, Vol. 27, Lesson 35, 2008

Journal Articles and Other Sources

1. Nelson, W. G., De Marzo, A. M., Isaacs, W. B.: Prostate cancer. The New England journal of medicine, **349**: 366, 2003
2. De Marzo, A. M., Platz, E. A., Sutcliffe, S. et al.: Inflammation in prostate carcinogenesis. Nature reviews Cancer, **7**: 256, 2007
3. Epstein, J., Srigley, J., Grignon, D. et al.: Recommendations for the reporting of prostate carcinoma. Human Pathology, **38**: 1305, 2007
4. Epstein, J. I., Herawi, M.: Prostate needle biopsies containing prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia or atypical foci suspicious for carcinoma: implications for patient care. The Journal of Urology, **175**: 820, 2006
5. Han, M., Partin, A. W., Zahurak, M. et al.: Biochemical (prostate specific antigen) recurrence probability following radical prostatectomy for clinically localized prostate cancer. The Journal of Urology, **169**: 517, 2003
6. Epstein, J. I., Allsbrook, W. C., Jr., Amin, M. B. et al.: The 2005 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Consensus Conference on Gleason Grading of Prostatic Carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol, **29**: 1228, 2005
7. Makarov, D. V., Trock, B. J., Humphreys, E. B. et al.: Updated nomogram to predict pathologic stage of prostate cancer given prostate-specific antigen level, clinical stage, and biopsy Gleason score (Partin tables) based on cases from 2000 to 2005. Urology, **69**: 1095, 2007

3. Early Detection/Diagnosis and Evaluation/Staging/Risk Stratification and Prognostication

Learner Objectives

At the end of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Understand role of PSA and PSA adjuncts as screening tests for prostate cancer
2. Understand the important screening studies and early detection guidelines from AUA, NCCN and USPSTF
3. Understand the impact of DRE findings on clinical evaluation for cancer
4. Know the proper transrectal ultrasound technique for prostate biopsy

5. Know the zonal anatomy of the prostate and its implications in location of biopsy needle placement
6. Be familiar with the complications associated with prostate needle biopsy, and the efforts to prevent/limit these complications
7. Know the AJCC clinical staging system for prostate cancer
8. Understand the role of predictive nomograms (e.g. Kattan) and predictive tables (e.g. Partin tables) as well as risk-group classification systems (e.g., D'Amico)
9. Know the indications for obtaining radiographic imaging (i.e. bone scan, cross-sectional imaging) in patients with newly-diagnosed prostate cancer

Contents

1. Early Detection

- a. Biology of PSA and development of PSA as a screening tool^{1,2}
 - i. Biologic characteristics, function, serum half-life
 - ii. Historical and current thinking on cut-off values: PCPT suggests there is no 'normal' PSA value³⁻⁵
 - iii. PSA isoforms, and their role in diagnostic patient evaluation
- b. Improving on the test characteristics of PSA
 - i. Age-adjusted PSA⁶
 - ii. PSA velocity/doubling time, with knowledge of the controversy surrounding these parameters⁷⁻¹⁰
 - iii. PSA density¹¹
 - iv. Free PSA¹²
 - v. DRE²
- c. Other markers
 - i. PCA-3
 - ii. TMPRSS2:ERG rearrangement
- d. Predictive models
- e. Screening studies
 - i. Catalona²
 - ii. Tyrol¹³
 - iii. PLCO¹⁴
 - iv. ERSPC¹⁵
 - v. Goteborg¹⁶
- f. The role of shared decision making in screening decisions
- g. Expected benefits and harms of screening for the individual; number needed to screen/treat and how that compares to screening for other diseases; sub-populations likely to benefit most and least from screening
- h. Change in incidence, stage at diagnosis and mortality since the introduction of PSA based screening^{17, 18}
- i. Screening recommendations and guidelines – AUA, NCCN, American Cancer Society, CUA, USPSTF

2. Diagnosis

- a. Appropriate interpretation and management of PSA values – often appropriate to repeat an outlying value; not appropriate to treat asymptomatic men with elevated PSA with antibiotics
 - b. Biopsy equipment, technique, complications
 - i. Antibiotic prophylaxis (AUA guideline)
 - ii. Use of anesthetics
 - iii. Use of extended biopsy template (at least 8-12 cores), laterally directed¹⁹
 - c. Predictive models for biopsy candidates^{20, 21}
 - d. Criteria for repeat biopsy
 - e. Role of imaging in biopsy decisions and targeting²²
 - i. MRI
 - ii. Ultrasound and associated technologies
 - iii. Elastography
 - f. Saturation biopsy
 - g. Trans-perineal mapping biopsy in patients considering focal therapy
3. Staging
- a. AJCC staging system
 - i. Clinical TNM system
 - ii. Pathologic TNM system
 - b. Role of imaging
 - i. Not typically considered in tumor staging
 - ii. Yield of imaging in localized prostate cancer, including guidelines (i.e. NCCN) for avoiding unnecessary imaging in low-risk disease and obtaining appropriate studies per patient risk classification
 - iii. Imaging modalities and specific indications for:
 - 1. Bone scan
 - 2. CT
 - 3. MRI
 - a. Role of endorectal coil vs. 3T magnet
 - b. Use of spectroscopy
 - 4. Choline PET
 - 5. Sodium Fluoride PET
4. Risk Stratification, Prognostication
- a. Pre-treatment look-up tables, nomograms, and other prognostic tools to predict pathologic results of surgery, response to therapy, or survival²³
 - i. Partin look-up tables²⁴
 - ii. Kattan nomogram²⁵
 - iii. D'Amico classification
 - iv. Neural networks
 - v. NCCN classification
 - vi. CAPRA score²⁶
 - vii. Epstein criteria for prediction of indolent disease

Bibliography

AJCC Staging Manual, 7th Edition

Guidelines

AUA Prostate-Specific Antigen Best Practice Statement

NCCN Clinical Practice Guideline on Prostate Cancer Early Detection

USPSTF Prostate Cancer Screening Recommendation Statement

<http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/prostatecancerscreening.htm>

AUA Best Practice Policy Statement on Urologic Surgery Antimicrobial Prophylaxis

Campbell-Walsh Urology, 10th Edition – Ed., Wein

Chapter 97 - Ultrasonography and Biopsy of the Prostate

Chapter 98 - Prostate Cancer Tumor Markers

Chapter 99 - Early Detection, Diagnosis, and Staging of Prostate Cancer

Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, 4th Edition – Ed., Scardino

Chapter 6: Screening and Early Detection

6A: Early Detection of Prostate Cancer

6B: Screening for Prostate Cancer

Chapter 7: Prostate Needle Biopsy Techniques and Interpretation

Chapter 8: Staging Systems for Prostate Cancer

Chapter 9: Nomograms for Prostate Cancer Decision-Making

Chapter 10: Imaging in Prostate Cancer

AUA Updates

Markers: Discovery and Validation of Molecular Markers of Prostate Cancer. Vickers AJ and Savage C, Vol. 29, Lesson 29, 2010

Prostate Biopsy: Contemporary Ultrasound Guided Biopsy Indications, Techniques and Future Directions. Hemani ML and Taneja SS, Vol. 28, Lesson 22, 2009

Management of Patients with a Persistently Elevated Prostate Specific Antigen Level and Negative Biopsy. Presti JC Jr, Vol. 31, Lesson 1, 2012

Predictive Models for Prostate Cancer. Sengupta S and Blute ML, Vol. 25, Lesson 35, 2006

Journal Articles and Other Sources

1. Stamey, T. A., Yang, N., Hay, A. R. et al.: Prostate-specific antigen as a serum marker for adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *N Engl J Med*, **317**: 909, 1987
2. Catalona, W. J., Smith, D. S., Ratliff, T. L. et al.: Measurement of prostate-specific antigen in serum as a screening test for prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **324**: 1156, 1991
3. Crawford, E. D., DeAntoni, E. P., Etzioni, R. et al.: Serum prostate-specific antigen and digital rectal examination for early detection of prostate cancer in a national community-based program. The Prostate Cancer Education Council. *Urology*, **47**: 863, 1996
4. Thompson, I. M., Goodman, P. J., Tangen, C. M. et al.: The influence of finasteride on the development of prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **349**: 215, 2003
5. Thompson, I. M., Pauler, D. K., Goodman, P. J. et al.: Prevalence of prostate cancer among men with a prostate-specific antigen level \leq 4.0 ng per milliliter. *The New England journal of medicine*, **350**: 2239, 2004
6. Oesterling, J. E., Jacobsen, S. J., Chute, C. G. et al.: Serum prostate-specific antigen in a community-based population of healthy men. Establishment of age-specific reference ranges. *JAMA*, **270**: 860, 1993
7. Carter, H. B., Pearson, J. D., Metter, E. J. et al.: Longitudinal evaluation of prostate-specific antigen levels in men with and without prostate disease. *JAMA*, **267**: 2215, 1992
8. Carter, H. B., Ferrucci, L., Kettermann, A. et al.: Detection of life-threatening prostate cancer with prostate-specific antigen velocity during a window of curability. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, **98**: 1521, 2006
9. D'Amico, A. V., Chen, M. H., Roehl, K. A. et al.: Preoperative PSA velocity and the risk of death from prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *N Engl J Med*, **351**: 125, 2004
10. Vickers, A. J., Till, C., Tangen, C. M. et al.: An Empirical Evaluation of Guidelines on Prostate-specific Antigen Velocity in Prostate Cancer Detection. *CancerSpectrum Knowledge Environment*, **103**: 462, 2011
11. Benson, M. C., Whang, I. S., Olsson, C. A. et al.: The use of prostate specific antigen density to enhance the predictive value of intermediate levels of serum prostate specific antigen. *J Urol*, **147**: 817, 1992
12. Catalona, W. J., Partin, A. W., Slawin, K. M. et al.: Use of the percentage of free prostate-specific antigen to enhance differentiation of prostate cancer from benign prostatic disease: a prospective multicenter clinical trial. *JAMA*, **279**: 1542, 1998
13. Bartsch, G., Horninger, W., Klocker, H. et al.: Prostate cancer mortality after introduction of prostate-specific antigen mass screening in the Federal State of Tyrol, Austria. *Urology*, **58**: 417, 2001
14. Andriole, G. L., Crawford, E. D., Grubb, R. L. et al.: Mortality results from a randomized prostate-cancer screening trial. *The New England journal of medicine*, **360**: 1310, 2009
15. Schröder, F. H., Hugosson, J., Roobol, M. J. et al.: Screening and prostate-cancer mortality in a randomized European study. *The New England journal of medicine*, **360**: 1320, 2009
16. Hugosson, J., Carlsson, S., Aus, G. et al.: Mortality results from the Göteborg randomised population-based prostate-cancer screening trial. *Lancet Oncology*, **11**: 725, 2010
17. Siegel, R., Naishadham, D., Jemal, A.: Cancer statistics, 2013. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, **63**: 11, 2013
18. Etzioni, R., Tsodikov, A., Mariotto, A. et al.: Quantifying the role of PSA screening in the US prostate cancer mortality decline. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*, **19**: 175, 2008
19. Presti, J. C., Jr., Chang, J. J., Bhargava, V. et al.: The optimal systematic prostate biopsy scheme should include 8 rather than 6 biopsies: results of a prospective clinical trial. *J Urol*, **163**: 163, 2000
20. Nam, R. K., Toi, A., Klotz, L. H. et al.: Assessing individual risk for prostate cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*, **25**: 3582, 2007

21. Thompson, I. M., Ankerst, D. P., Chi, C. et al.: Assessing prostate cancer risk: results from the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **98**: 529, 2006
22. Heijmink, S. W., Futterer, J. J., Strum, S. S. et al.: State-of-the-art urologic imaging in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. *Acta Oncol*, **50 Suppl 1**: 25, 2011
23. Touijer, K., Scardino, P. T.: Nomograms for staging, prognosis, and predicting treatment outcomes. *Cancer*, **115**: 3107, 2009
24. Eifler, J. B., Feng, Z., Lin, B. M. et al.: An updated prostate cancer staging nomogram (Partin tables) based on cases from 2006 to 2011. *BJU Int*, **111**: 22, 2013
25. Kattan, M. W., Eastham, J. A., Stapleton, A. M. et al.: A preoperative nomogram for disease recurrence following radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **90**: 766, 1998
26. Cooperberg, M. R., Hilton, J. F., Carroll, P. R.: The CAPRA-S score: A straightforward tool for improved prediction of outcomes after radical prostatectomy. *Cancer*, **117**: 5039, 2011

4. Management of Localized, Locally Advanced and Locally Recurrent Disease; Survivorship

Learner Objectives

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Understand the appropriate patient selection for active surveillance and be familiar with the existing protocols for patient follow-up on active surveillance, including the need for repeat biopsy.
2. Know the advantages and disadvantages of the different radical prostatectomy approaches (i.e. open, laparoscopic, and robotic-assisted), including indications for pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND), and be familiar with the surgical techniques associated with these procedures.
3. Know the short and long-term complications associated with radical prostatectomy.
4. Understand the implications of PSA recurrence and/or persistence after prostatectomy.
5. Know the management strategies for adverse pathology findings at radical prostatectomy – positive margins, extracapsular extension, seminal vesicle invasion and/or positive lymph nodes.
6. Understand patient selection for external beam radiation and interstitial brachytherapy, and the definitions of success or failure of these therapies.
7. Know possible complications following radiation therapy.
8. Know the possible role of prostate cryotherapy in the treatment of localized disease and understand the side-effects and oncologic outcomes of this treatment.
9. Be aware of ablative techniques currently being studied.
10. Know the impact of each treatment on quality of life, sexual function, urinary function, and bowel function, and the management of adverse outcomes.

Contents

1. Management of localized disease
 - a. Patient comorbidity and life expectancy assessment¹
 - b. Assessment of baseline function and quality of life, patient preferences and priorities^{2,3}
 - c. Active Surveillance⁴⁻⁷
 - i. Indications and patient selection (NCCN criteria and Epstein criteria)
 - ii. Cohort studies demonstrating outcomes
 - iii. Methods for surveillance and triggers for initiating treatment

- d. Radiation Therapy^{8,9}
 - i. Patient selection for radiation, including life expectancy and disease characteristics, prostate size, symptoms, comorbid conditions and patient preferences
 - ii. Modalities for radiation therapy, including brachytherapy and external beam radiation therapy, using intensity modulation and image-guidance, as well as proton beam therapy, and be familiar with existing data which have evaluated relative comparative efficacy of these approaches
 - iii. Basics of radiation therapy technique, including appropriate dose, appropriate use of hormone therapy
 - iv. Expectations for PSA response and definitions for biochemical recurrence after radiation
 - v. Oncologic outcomes
 - vi. Short and long-term complications of radiotherapy
- e. Surgery
 - i. Patient selection, including life expectancy and disease characteristics, surgical risk, comorbid conditions and patient preferences
 - ii. Impact of approach (open, robotic and laparoscopic surgery) on patient reported outcomes, cancer control, complications, cost, and access¹⁰⁻¹⁵
 - 1. Understanding of the differences between single surgeon/single center reported outcomes versus population-based dataset analyses
 - iii. Impact of surgeon and facility experience on outcomes¹⁶⁻¹⁸
 - iv. Indications for pelvic lymph node dissection
 - v. Nerve-sparing techniques
 - vi. Preoperative and post-operative care
 - vii. Perioperative complications
 - viii. Late complications (incontinence, erectile dysfunction and bladder neck contracture)
 - ix. Long-term oncologic outcomes¹⁹⁻²¹
 - x. Pathologic predictors of recurrence (Gleason grade, positive margins, seminal vesicle invasion, volume of tumor, extracapsular extension, and positive pelvic lymph nodes)²² and familiarity with post-treatment predictive tools
- f. Comparative effectiveness and harms of treatments²³
 - i. Optimal management for localized prostate cancer is unknown, and likely varies by specific scenario (disease characteristics, patient characteristics)
 - ii. Surgery vs. observation trials^{24, 25}
 - iii. Observational studies^{15, 26, 27}
- g. Emerging modalities
 - i. Ablation therapies
 - 1. Cryotherapy
 - a. Patient selection
 - b. Treatment methods and technique
 - c. Treatment complications and side-effects
 - d. Short-term oncologic outcomes
 - 2. HIFU – not FDA approved in US
 - ii. Focal therapy

2. Management of locally advanced disease
 - a. Case selection and evaluation of candidates
 - b. Role of surgery^{28, 29}
 - i. Risk stratification methods
 - ii. Impact after radical prostatectomy of positive margins, positive seminal vesicles and/or positive lymph nodes
 - iii. Radiographic staging studies (CT, bone scan, MRI)
 - c. Role of hormone therapy in conjunction with radiation therapy^{30, 31}
3. Management of locally recurrent disease and the role of adjuvant treatment
 - a. Case selection and evaluation of candidates for adjuvant and local salvage treatment
 - b. Salvage surgery and salvage cryotherapy after radiation
 - c. Adjuvant and salvage radiation therapy^{32, 33}
4. Survivorship
 - a. Impact of different treatments on quality of life^{27, 34}
 - b. Management of common complications of local therapies, including stress urinary incontinence, erectile dysfunction, bladder neck contracture, radiation cystitis, urethral stricture, depression.

Bibliography

Guidelines

AUA Guideline for the Management of Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer

NCCN Clinical Practice Guideline on Prostate (Management)

Campbell-Walsh Urology, 10th Edition – Ed., Wein

Chapter 100 - Definitive Therapy for Localized Prostate Cancer: An Overview

Chapter 101 - Expectant Management of Prostate Cancer

Chapter 102 - Radical Retropubic and Perineal Prostatectomy

Chapter 103 - Laparoscopic and Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymphadenectomy

Chapter 104 - Radiation Therapy for Prostate Cancer

Chapter 105 - Cryotherapy for Prostate Cancer

Chapter 106 - High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound for the Treatment of Prostate Cancer

Chapter 107 - Treatment of Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer

Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, 4th Edition – Ed., Scardino

Chapter 11: Treatment of Early Stage Prostate Cancer

- 11A: Quality of Life after Treatment for Early Stage Prostate Cancer
- 11B: Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer: Rationale, Methods, and Results
- 11C: PLND: Indications for and Technique of Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection
- 11D: Radical Prostatectomy for Clinical Stage T1 and T2 Prostate Cancer
- 11E: Treatment of Early Stage Prostate Cancer: Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy
- 11F: Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy
- 11G: Brachytherapy Alone and with External Beam Radiotherapy for Localized Prostate Cancer
- 11H: Other Interventions for the Treatment of Localized Prostate Cancer (Cryo, Hifu)

Chapter 12: Management of High Risk Prostate Cancer

- 12A: Combined Hormone Therapy and Radiation Therapy for High-Risk Prostate Cancer
- 12B: Surgical Management of Clinical T3 (cT3) and Node Positive (pN+) Adenocarcinoma

Chapter 13: Treatment of Locally Recurrent Prostate Cancer

- 13A: Recurrence Following Radiotherapy: Definitions, Prognosis, and Management
- 13B: Salvage Radical Prostatectomy for Recurrence Prostate Cancer after Radiation Therapy
- 13C: Salvage Brachytherapy for Prostate Cancer
- 13D: Recurrence Following Radical Prostatectomy: Definitions and Management
- 13E: Adjuvant and Salvage Radiotherapy, Post-Prostatectomy

AUA Updates

Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer: Patient Selection and Management. Klotz L, Vol. 27, Lesson 33, 2008

Robot and open; Robotic and Laparoscopic Prostatectomy: A Critical Review of Existing Data. Ficarra V, Novara G, Mottrie A and Artibani W, Vol. 29, Lesson 30, 2010

Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection for Prostate Cancer: Defining the Appropriate Limits of Dissection. Carver BS, Rabbani F and Herr HW, Vol. 24, Lesson 21, 2005

Complications: Complications of Contemporary Radical Prostatectomy. DeCastro GJ, Gautam G and Zorn KC, Vol. 29, Lesson 2, 2010

Evidence Based Approach to Combining Androgen Suppression and Radiation Therapy for Men With Locally Advanced or Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer. D'Amico AV, Vol. 24, Lesson 22, 2005

New Advances in Prostate Brachytherapy. Shinohara K and Yamasaki I, Vol. 28, Lesson 1, 2009

Complications of Permanent Interstitial Brachytherapy for Carcinoma of the Prostate. Miller DC, Sandler H and Wei JT, Vol. 23, Lesson 2, 2004

An Assessment of New Techniques and New Applications of Radiation Therapy for Prostate Cancer. Nguyen PL, D'Amico AV and Hoffman KE, Vol. 29, Lesson 31, 2010

Management of the Acute and Chronic Urinary Complications of Radiation Therapy for Prostate Cancer. Cameron AKP and Latini JM, Vol. 27, Lesson 32, 2008

Ablation

Ablative Focal Therapy for Primary Treatment of Prostate Cancer. Eggener S, Vol. 29, Lesson 3, 2010

High Intensity Focused Ultrasound for Prostate Cancer. Koch MO, Vol. 27, Lesson 8, 2008

Penile Rehabilitation After Radical Prostatectomy. Blaya R and Mulhall JP, Vol. 27, Lesson 36, 2008

Follow-up of Genitourinary Malignancies for the Office Urologist: A Practical Approach. Part I: Prostate and Bladder Cancers. Theodorescu D, Rabbani R and Donat SM, Vol. 23, Lesson 37, 2004

Management of Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. Klein EA, Kupelian P, Mahadevan A and Dreicer R, Vol. 23, Lesson 32, 2004

Symptomatic Local Progression of Prostate Cancer: Management Options. Leibovici D and Pisters LL, Vol. 27, Lesson 34, 2008

Salvage surgery: The Role for Salvage Surgery in Radio-Recurrent/Resistant Carcinoma of the Prostate. Lerner SE, Amling CL, Kaynan AM, Blute ML and Zincke H, Vol. XVIII, Lesson 8, 1999

Journal Articles and Other Sources

1. Daskivich, T. J., Chamie, K., Kwan, L. et al.: Overtreatment of men with low-risk prostate cancer and significant comorbidity. *Cancer*, **117**: 2058, 2010
2. Penson, D. F., McLerran, D., Feng, Z. et al.: 5-year urinary and sexual outcomes after radical prostatectomy: results from the prostate cancer outcomes study. *J Urol*, **173**: 1701, 2005
3. Penson, D. F.: Quality of life after therapy for localized prostate cancer. *Cancer J*, **13**: 318, 2007
4. Klotz, L.: Active surveillance with selective delayed intervention is the way to manage 'good-risk' prostate cancer. *Nature Clinical Practice Urology*, **2**: 136, 2005
5. Carter, H. B., Kettermann, A., Warlick, C. et al.: Expectant management of prostate cancer with curative intent: an update of the Johns Hopkins experience. *The Journal of Urology*, **178**: 2359, 2007
6. Warlick, C., Trock, B. J., Landis, P. et al.: Delayed versus immediate surgical intervention and prostate cancer outcome. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **98**: 355, 2006
7. Klotz, L., Zhang, L., Lam, A. et al.: Clinical results of long-term follow-up of a large, active surveillance cohort with localized prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol*, **28**: 126, 2010
8. Zietman, A. L., DeSilvio, M. L., Slater, J. D. et al.: Comparison of conventional-dose vs high-dose conformal radiation therapy in clinically localized adenocarcinoma of the prostate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, **294**: 1233, 2005

9. D'Amico, A. V., Chen, M.-H., Renshaw, A. A. et al.: Androgen suppression and radiation vs radiation alone for prostate cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, **299**: 289, 2008
10. Kim, S. P., Boorjian, S. A., Shah, N. D. et al.: Disparities in access to hospitals with robotic surgery for patients with prostate cancer undergoing radical prostatectomy. *J Urol*, **189**: 514, 2013
11. Novara, G., Ficarra, V., Rosen, R. C. et al.: Systematic review and meta-analysis of perioperative outcomes and complications after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol*, **62**: 431, 2012
12. Ficarra, V., Novara, G., Rosen, R. C. et al.: Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting urinary continence recovery after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol*, **62**: 405, 2012
13. Novara, G., Ficarra, V., Mocellin, S. et al.: Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting oncologic outcome after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol*, **62**: 382, 2012
14. Ficarra, V., Novara, G., Ahlering, T. E. et al.: Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting potency rates after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol*, **62**: 418, 2012
15. Hu, J. C., Gu, X., Lipsitz, S. R. et al.: Comparative Effectiveness of Minimally Invasive vs Open Radical Prostatectomy. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, **302**: 1557, 2009
16. Begg, C. B., Riedel, E. R., Bach, P. B. et al.: Variations in morbidity after radical prostatectomy. *The New England journal of medicine*, **346**: 1138, 2002
17. Vickers, A. J., Bianco, F. J., Serio, A. M. et al.: The surgical learning curve for prostate cancer control after radical prostatectomy. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **99**: 1171, 2007
18. Wilt, T., Shamliyan, T., Taylor, B. et al.: Association Between Hospital and Surgeon Radical Prostatectomy Volume and Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *The Journal of Urology*, 2008
19. Han, M., Partin, A. W., Zahurak, M. et al.: Biochemical (prostate specific antigen) recurrence probability following radical prostatectomy for clinically localized prostate cancer. *The Journal of Urology*, **169**: 517, 2003
20. Pound, C. R., Partin, A. W., Eisenberger, M. A. et al.: Natural history of progression after PSA elevation following radical prostatectomy. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, **281**: 1591, 1999
21. Roehl, K. A., Han, M., Ramos, C. G. et al.: Cancer progression and survival rates following anatomical radical retropubic prostatectomy in 3,478 consecutive patients: long-term results. *The Journal of Urology*, **172**: 910, 2004
22. Epstein, J. I., Pizov, G., Walsh, P. C.: Correlation of pathologic findings with progression after radical retropubic prostatectomy. *Cancer*, **71**: 3582, 1993
23. D'Amico, A. V., Whittington, R., Malkowicz, S. B. et al.: Biochemical outcome after radical prostatectomy, external beam radiation therapy, or interstitial radiation therapy for clinically localized prostate cancer. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, **280**: 969, 1998
24. Wilt, T. J., Brawer, M. K., Jones, K. M. et al.: Radical prostatectomy versus observation for localized prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med*, **367**: 203, 2012
25. Bill-Axelson, A., Holmberg, L., Ruutu, M. et al.: Radical prostatectomy versus watchful waiting in early prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **364**: 1708, 2011
26. Penson, D., McLerran, D., Feng, Z. et al.: 5-year urinary and sexual outcomes after radical prostatectomy: Results from the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Study. *The Journal of Urology*, **173**: 1701, 2005
27. Resnick, M. J., Koyama, T., Fan, K. H. et al.: Long-term functional outcomes after treatment for localized prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med*, **368**: 436, 2013
28. Boorjian, S. A., Blute, M. L.: Surgical management of high risk prostate cancer: the Mayo Clinic experience. *Urologic oncology*, **26**: 530, 2008

29. Carver, B. S., Bianco, F. J., Jr., Scardino, P. T. et al.: Long-term outcome following radical prostatectomy in men with clinical stage T3 prostate cancer. *J Urol*, **176**: 564, 2006
30. Bolla, M., Vanpoppel, H., Collette, L. et al.: Postoperative radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy: a randomised controlled trial (EORTC trial 22911). *The Lancet*, **366**: 572, 2005
31. Bolla, M., de Reijke, T. M., Van Tienhoven, G. et al.: Duration of androgen suppression in the treatment of prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **360**: 2516, 2009
32. Thompson, I. M., Tangen, C. M., Paradelo, J. et al.: Adjuvant Radiotherapy for Pathological T3N0M0 Prostate Cancer Significantly Reduces Risk of Metastases and Improves Survival: Long-Term Followup of a Randomized Clinical Trial. *The Journal of Urology*, **181**: 956, 2009
33. Stephenson, A. J., Shariat, S. F., Zelefsky, M. J. et al.: Salvage radiotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, **291**: 1325, 2004
34. Alemozaffar, M., Regan, M. M., Cooperberg, M. R. et al.: Prediction of erectile function following treatment for prostate cancer. *JAMA*, **306**: 1205, 2011

5. Management of Advanced Prostate Cancer

Learner Objectives

Upon completion of this unit the urologic oncology fellow should:

1. Know the molecular biology of the androgen axis and the physiological impact of hormonal interventions.
2. Know the mechanism of action for each form of androgen deprivation therapy.
3. Recognize complications associated with androgen deprivation therapy and possible therapeutic interventions.
4. Understand controversies surrounding the timing and methods of androgen deprivation therapy administration.
5. Know possible secondary hormonal manipulations for androgen independent prostate cancer.
6. Understand the role of chemotherapy in castrate-resistant disease.
7. Understand the mechanism, benefits and side effects of each of the FDA-approved and emerging therapies for metastatic prostate cancer.
8. Know risk factors associated with biochemical relapse after primary treatment.
9. Be familiar with definitions of biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy and radiation therapy.

Contents

1. Initial management of advanced prostate cancer: metastatic disease, lymph node positive disease and recurrence after primary therapy¹
 - a. Case selection and evaluation of candidates for systemic therapy
 - b. Interpretation of CT, bone scan, and other radiographic imaging
 - c. Biologic significance of androgen receptor signaling in advanced prostate cancer
 - d. Hormone therapy
 - i. LHRH agonist
 - ii. Orchiectomy
 - iii. LHRH antagonist

- iv. Health maintenance for men on androgen deprivation therapy – i.e. bone health interventions
 - e. Androgen receptor blockers
 - f. Combined androgen blockade
 - g. Intermittent versus continuous LHRH therapy
 - h. Secondary hormonal interventions
 - i. Prevention or management of side effects: hot flashes, osteoporosis, weight gain, cognitive loss, metabolic syndrome
 - i. Role of Calcium/Vitamin D and bisphosphonates
- 2. Biochemical persistence/recurrence after primary therapy
 - a. Defining recurrence after surgery (i.e. different published definitions of biochemical recurrence/guideline recommendations) and after radiation (i.e. Phoenix criteria)
 - b. Natural history of biochemical recurrence
 - c. Predictors of progression to metastatic disease in patients with biochemical recurrence
- 3. Management of castrate-resistant prostate cancer
 - a. Definition of CRPC, natural history, and controversies in sequencing of therapies
 - b. Chemotherapy
 - i. Familiarity with trials showing effect of Docetaxel on survival and Mitoxantrone on palliation^{2,3}
 - ii. Cabazitaxel for progression after docetaxel
 - c. Immunotherapy⁴
 - i. Sipuleucel-T
 - 1. Theorized mechanism, administration, side effects and monitoring
 - 2. Trial data showing overall survival advantage vs. placebo
 - ii. PROSTVAC – off the shelf vaccine⁵
 - d. Novel hormonal therapies
 - i. Abiraterone
 - 1. Mechanism, co-administration with prednisone, side effects and monitoring
 - 2. Trial data showing overall survival advantage vs. placebo in post-chemo⁶ and pre-chemo settings⁷
 - ii. Enzalutamide
 - 1. Mechanism, side effects and monitoring
 - 2. Trial data showing overall survival advantage vs. placebo in post-chemo setting⁸; pre-chemo trial pending
 - e. Other novel therapies
 - i. Denosumab
 - 1. Mechanism, side-effects and monitoring
 - 2. Trial data showing improvement in skeletal-related events vs. bisphosphonates in men with bone metastases⁹, and bone-metastasis-free survival in men with CRPC¹⁰
 - ii. Radium 223
 - f. Role of clinical trials
 - g. Sequencing of available treatments

Bibliography

Guidelines

AUA Guideline for the Management of Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer

NCCN Clinical Practice Guideline on Prostate (Management)

Campbell-Walsh Urology, 10th Edition – Ed., Wein

Chapter 108 - Clinical State of the Rising PSA Value after Definitive Local Therapy: A Practical Approach

Chapter 109 - Hormone Therapy for Prostate Cancer

Chapter 110 - Treatment of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer

Comprehensive Textbook of Genitourinary Oncology, 4th Edition – Ed., Scardino

Chapter 3: Androgen Receptor Signaling in Castrate Resistant Prostate Cancer

Chapter 14: Advanced Prostate Cancer

14A: Biology of Bone Metastases in Men with Prostate Cancer

14B: Initial Management of Metastatic Prostate Cancer

14C: Second Line Treatment: Going Beyond Hormones

14D: Management of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer

AUA Updates

Androgen Deprivation Therapy for Prostate Cancer. Pinthus JH, Hajek D and Trachtenberg J, Vol. 25, Lesson 15, 2006

Managing Side Effects of Androgen Deprivation Therapy. Holzbeierlein JM and Thrasher JB, Vol. 24, Lesson 32, 2005

Role of Osteoclast Inhibitors in Prostate Cancer Therapy. Alva AS, Vol. 31, Lesson 3, 2012

Treatment of Metastatic Prostate Cancer: How Urologists Should Sequence Available Agents. Mostaghel EA and Lin DW, Vol. 31, Lesson 4, 2012

Management of Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer. Ryan CJ, Vol. 26, Lesson 38, 2007

Journal Articles and Other Sources

1. Byar, D. P., Corle, D. K.: Hormone therapy for prostate cancer: results of the Veterans Administration Cooperative Urological Research Group studies. NCI Monogr: 165, 1988

2. Petrylak, D. P., Tangen, C. M., Hussain, M. H. A. et al.: Docetaxel and estramustine compared with mitoxantrone and prednisone for advanced refractory prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **351**: 1513, 2004
3. Tannock, I. F., de Wit, R., Berry, W. R. et al.: Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **351**: 1502, 2004
4. Kantoff, P. W., Higano, C. S., Shore, N. D. et al.: Sipuleucel-T immunotherapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*, **363**: 411, 2010
5. Kantoff, P. W., Schuetz, T. J., Blumenstein, B. A. et al.: Overall survival analysis of a phase II randomized controlled trial of a Poxviral-based PSA-targeted immunotherapy in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol*, **28**: 1099, 2010
6. de Bono, J. S., Logothetis, C. J., Molina, A. et al.: Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med*, **364**: 1995, 2011
7. Ryan, C. J., Smith, M. R., de Bono, J. S. et al.: Abiraterone in metastatic prostate cancer without previous chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med*, **368**: 138, 2013
8. Scher, H. I., Fizazi, K., Saad, F. et al.: Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med*, **367**: 1187, 2012
9. Fizazi, K., Carducci, M., Smith, M. et al.: Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for treatment of bone metastases in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a randomised, double-blind study. *Lancet*, **377**: 813, 2011
10. Smith, M. R., Saad, F., Coleman, R. et al.: Denosumab and bone-metastasis-free survival in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: results of a phase 3, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*, **379**: 39, 2012

SUO Curriculum - Other Malignancies

1. Adrenal Tumors

A. Adrenal Gland: Anatomy/Physiology

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow will demonstrate an understanding of the anatomy and physiology of the adrenal gland

Contents:

1. Histopathology of the adrenal gland
 - a. Medulla
 - i. Embryological origin
 - b. Cortex
 - i. Embryological origin
 - ii. Knowledge of three zones, with hormones produced by each
2. Vascular anatomy of the adrenal glands
 - a. Differences between right and left adrenal gland
 - b. Relevant surgical anatomy as it relates to:
 - i. Bleeding complications
 - ii. Approach to functional tumors (i.e. pheochromocytoma)
 - iii. Partial versus radical adrenalectomy
3. Surgical relationships to adjacent structures
4. Adrenal Physiology
 - a. Cortex
 - i. Hormones produced – regulation, activity
 - b. Medulla
 - i. Catecholamines produced
 - ii. Regulation and pathways of catecholamine production
 - iii. Actions of the catecholamines
 - c. Syndromes/Diseases associated with adrenal tumors
 - i. Cortisol excess
 1. Differential diagnosis + diagnostic evaluation
 2. Treatment principles
 - ii. Aldosterone excess
 1. Differential diagnosis + diagnostic evaluation
 2. Treatment principles
 - iii. Pheochromocytoma

1. Diagnostic evaluation
2. Treatment principles

B. Epidemiology

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this section the urologic oncology fellow should be able to describe the incidence and risk factors for the different types of adrenal tumors

Contents:

1. Epidemiology of tumors of the adrenal glands
 - a. Age of onset
 - b. Male to female ratio
 - c. Ethnic and racial factors
2. Etiology of tumors of the adrenal glands
 - a. Familial/genetic syndromes associated with adrenal tumors
 - b. Exposure risks and other causes

C. Diagnostic Evaluation of Adrenal Tumors

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this section the urologic oncology fellow should be able to describe the diagnostic evaluation and outcomes following treatment of adrenal tumors

Contents:

1. Recognize the symptoms and physical exam findings of:
 - a. Cortisol excess
 - b. Pheochromocytoma
 - c. Aldosterone excess
 - d. Adrenocortical carcinoma
2. Appropriate imaging evaluation (i.e. CT, MRI, ultrasound, MIBG, FDG-PET, venous sampling) for adrenal tumors
 - a. Understand the importance of quantitating intracellular lipid content for the radiologic characterization of adrenal tumors
 - b. Understand/identify specific radiographic characteristics of:
 - i. Adenomas – lipid rich (70%) vs lipid poor (30%)
 1. Identify lipid poor adenomas on CT washout
 - ii. Pheochromocytomas
 1. Role of MRI, MIBG, FDG-PET (esp for mets)
 - iii. Myelolipomas
 - iv. Adrenocortical carcinoma
 - v. Adrenal cysts
 - vi. Metastases

3. Understand the evaluation of incidentally discovered adrenal masses
 - a. Understand proper radiologic + biochemical functional evaluation of the “adrenal incidentaloma”
 - b. Recognize the frequency of potentially surgical lesions among incidentally detected adrenal tumors
4. Understand the staging and clinicopathologic prognostic factors associated with adrenocortical carcinoma
 - a. Recognizing known correlation of adrenal tumor size with risk of malignancy
 - b. Understanding indications for excision of an adrenal lesion based on tumor size/growth rate
5. Understanding management of the adrenal gland during radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma – i.e. indications for concurrent adrenalectomy
6. Understanding indications for resection of metastases to the adrenal gland

D. Surgical Management of Adrenal Tumors

Unit Objectives: Upon completion of this section, the urologic oncology fellow will:

1. Demonstrate knowledge of surgical indications for adrenal tumors
2. Demonstrate an understanding of the preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative management of adrenal tumors
3. Understand the complications associated with adrenal surgery

Contents:

1. Indications, limitations, and risks of biopsy of an adrenal mass
2. Indications for adrenal surgery in the setting of
 - a. Cortisol excess
 - b. Aldosterone excess
 - c. Pheochromocytoma
3. Options for adrenal resection
 - a. Partial adrenalectomy
 - b. Radical adrenalectomy
 - c. Bilateral adrenalectomy
4. Surgical approaches to the adrenal gland
 - a. Open (thoracoabdominal, transperitoneal, retroperitoneal)
 - b. Minimally invasive (transperitoneal and retroperitoneal, laparoscopic and robotic-assisted)
5. Peri-operative management of pheochromocytomas
 - a. Alpha receptor blockade
 - b. Hydration
 - c. Experienced anesthesiologist
 - d. Appropriate vasoactive agents
6. Understand potential complications following surgery

E. Medical Management of Adrenal Tumors

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this section the urologic oncology fellow will be able to describe the medical management of benign functional adrenal tumors as well as chemotherapy options for metastatic pheochromocytoma and adrenocortical carcinoma

Contents:

1. Describe medical management of cortisol excess
2. Describe medical management of aldosterone excess
3. Describe medical management of pheochromocytoma in perioperative period as well as chemotherapy options in the metastatic setting
4. Describe chemotherapy options for advanced or metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma.

2. Urethral Carcinoma

A. Anatomy/Pathology

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should be able to describe normal urethral anatomy and the histopathology of urethral carcinomas.

Contents:

1. Normal anatomy of the male and female urethra – gross, histologic
2. Surgical relationships of the male and female urethra to surrounding structures
 - a. Vagina
 - b. Bladder
 - c. Corpora cavernosum
 - d. Prostate
3. Lymphatic Drainage of the urethra
 - a. Male
 - b. Female
 - c. Based on location within the urethra (i.e. distal vs proximal)
4. Epithelial lining histology based on region of urethra
5. Tumor histopathology
 - i. Squamous cell carcinoma
 - ii. Urothelial carcinoma
 - iii. Adenocarcinoma
 - iv. Sarcoma

B. Epidemiology

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand the epidemiology, etiology, and risk factors for the development of urethral carcinoma.

Contents:

1. Describe the epidemiology of urethral cancers including
 - a. Male to female ratio
 - b. Age
 - c. Racial or ethnic factors
2. Describe risk factors for the development of urethral carcinoma.
 - a. Smoking
 - b. Industrial exposures
 - c. Infectious agents
 - d. Stricture disease
 - e. Previous urothelial carcinoma (i.e. of the bladder)
3. Describe prognostic factors for urethral tumors, including prediction of loco-regional + distant metastases
 - a. Stage
 - b. Grade
 - c. Histology
 - d. Location within the urethra

C. Diagnosis and Staging

Upon completion of this unit, the urologic oncology fellow should understand how to diagnose and stage urethral carcinoma.

Contents:

1. Presentation
 - a. Hematuria
 - b. Frequency and urgency
 - c. Dysuria
 - d. Obstruction
2. Diagnosis
 - a. Physical exam
 - i. Inguinal lymphadenopathy
 - ii. Palpable lesion in the urethra
 - b. Cystourethroscopy
 - c. Imaging:
 - i. CT scan
 - ii. MRI
 - iii. Bone scan
 - iv. Ultrasound
3. Staging - TNM
4. Grading

D. Management

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion the urologic oncology fellow should be able to describe the management of urethral carcinoma, including surgical and multimodal treatment options

Contents:

1. Indications for biopsy of the urethra
2. Surgical technique for transurethral resection of a urethral tumor
3. Surgical technique for urethrectomy: partial vs. total
 - a. Reconstruction techniques
4. Cystectomy with urethrectomy
 - a. Indications
 - b. Technique
 - c. Options for reconstruction
5. Indications for inguinal lymph node dissection with urethral cancers
6. Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy for Urethral Cancer
 - a. Describe the indications for chemotherapy and radiotherapy as a primary treatment for urethral cancer.
 - b. Describe the indications for chemotherapy and radiotherapy for the treatment of urethral cancer in the following settings:
 - a. Neoadjuvant
 - b. Urethral sparing
 - c. Adjuvant
 - d. Locally advanced and unresectable urethral cancer
 - e. Metastatic urethral cancer

3. Paratesticular Tumors

A. Anatomy/Pathology

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this unit the urologic oncology fellow will understand the anatomy of the testicle and paratesticular structures as well as relevant pathology of the most common paratesticular tumors

Contents:

1. Normal gross anatomy of the testicle and paratesticular structures including

- a. Testicle
 - b. Tunica albuginea
 - c. Epididymis
 - d. Vas deferens
 - e. Spermatic cord
2. Describe the various benign and malignant tumors which affect the paratesticular structures
 - a. Adenomatoid tumor
 - b. Lipoma of the cord
 - c. Cysts
 - d. Spermatoceles
 - e. Sarcomas
 - i. Rhabdomyosarcomas
 - ii. Leiomyosarcomas
 - iii. Liposarcomas
 - iv. Malignant fibrous histiocytomas

B. Diagnosis

Unit Objectives:

Upon completion of this section the urologic oncology fellow will be able to describe the diagnostic evaluation of a suspected paratesticular tumor.

Contents:

1. Physical exam
 - a. Fixed versus mobile
 - b. Transillumination
 - c. Overlying skin involvement
 - d. Regional lymph node involvement
2. Radiologic studies
 - a. Scrotal ultrasound
 - b. CT scan – chest/abdomen/pelvis
 - c. MRI
3. Diagnostic strategies
 - a. (Open) biopsy through inguinal incision
 - b. Needle biopsy
 - c. Radical inguinal orchiectomy

C. Management:

Objectives:

1. Discuss the surgical approach to a paratesticular tumor.
2. Discuss indications for multimodal management, including referrals for neoadjuvant/adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy

Contents:

1. Inguinal approach to paratesticular tumors
 - a. Wide local excision (vs. radical orchiectomy)
 - b. Re-excision
 - i. Indications
 - ii. Intent
 - c. Complications

2. Indications for retroperitoneal lymph node dissection

3. Multimodal treatment of paratesticular tumors
 - a. Indications – histology, grade, margin status
 - b. Use of chemotherapy
 - c. Use of radiation therapy

4. Genitourinary Sarcomas

A. Epidemiology/Incidence

Objectives:

1. Discuss the incidence and epidemiology of the various genitourinary sarcomas.
2. Understand the implications of tumor location on management and prognosis for sarcomas

Contents:

1. Histology and associated biology of common genitourinary sarcomas
 - a. Liposarcoma
 - b. Rhabdomyosarcoma
 - c. Leiomyosarcoma
 - d. Carcinosarcoma

2. Locations
 - a. Kidney
 - b. Prostate
 - c. Bladder
 - d. Spermatic cord/paratesticular
 - e. Testicular
 - f. Retroperitoneal

3. Epidemiology
 - a. Age/sex
 - b. Racial
 - c. Association of location with histology

B. Diagnosis

Unit Objective:

After completion of this section the urologic oncology fellow will be able to demonstrate and understanding the signs, symptoms, and diagnostic evaluation associated of genitourinary sarcomas

Contents:

1. Symptoms based on region of origin:
 - a. Kidney
 - b. Spermatic cord and paratesticular
 - c. Bladder
 - d. Prostate
 - e. Testicle
 - f. Retroperitoneal
2. Physical exam findings based on region of origin
3. Imaging evaluation
 - a. Ultrasound
 - b. CT scan
 - c. MRI

C. Management and Prognosis

Objectives:

After completion of this unit the urologic oncology fellow will be able to discuss management for the various types of GU sarcomas, and will be familiar with the prognosis based on location and type.

Contents:

1. Surgical Management – wide local excision with negative surgical margins = critical. May require adjacent organ resection depending on location
 - i. Spermatic cord/paratesticular – radical orchiectomy
 - ii. Retroperitoneal - nephrectomy, ureteral resection, bowel/vascular resection
 - iii. Pelvic – partial/radical cystectomy, prostatectomy
2. Multimodal Management
 - a. Chemotherapy – understanding integration of pre/post resection chemotherapy, indications
 - b. Radiotherapy – understanding role of pre/intra/postoperative radiation therapy, indications
3. Prognosis
 - a. Related to site of origin
 - b. Related to histologic type
 - c. Related to grade, stage, and margin status

